Accusation

13<sup>°</sup>

1. Wayne J. Quint, Jr. (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in his official capacity as the Chief of the California Department of Justice, Bureau of Gambling Control (Bureau).

**PARTIES** 

- 2. Respondent Palomar Card Club (Palomar) is a general partnership, doing business as the Palomar Card Club. Palomar is a licensed gambling enterprise, California State Gambling License Number GEGE-001008. Palomar operates an 11-table card room at 2724 El Cajon Boulevard, San Diego, California.
- 3. Respondents Donald Staats (Mr. Staats), license number GEOW-002374, and Susan Staats (Mrs. Staats), license number GEOW-002375, are Palomar's only partners and are endorsed on its license.
- 4. Palomar, Mr. Staats, and Mrs. Staats are referred to collectively as "Respondents."
- 5. The California Gambling Control Commission (Commission) issued the above-described licenses to Respondents. Each license will expire on November 30, 2015. An application for renewal is pending as to each license.

## **SUMMARY OF THE CASE**

6. This proceeding seeks to revoke Respondents' licenses, and impose appropriate fines as allowed by law. Respondents are not suitable for continued licensure under the Gambling Control Act (Act). As alleged in this Accusation, Respondents concealed material information from the Commission and the Bureau, and failed to comply with a Commission order. Additionally, as alleged in this Accusation, Mr. and Mrs. Staats turned control and management of Palomar over to an unlicensed person. Pursuant to the Act and as a consequence of their acts and omissions, Respondents are unqualified for, disqualified from, and unsuitable for continued licensure. Respondents' continued licensure is inimical to the public health, safety, and welfare and a danger to the effective regulation of controlled gambling.

## JURISDICTION AND COST RECOVERY

- 7. The Commission has jurisdiction over the operation and concentration of gambling establishments and all persons and things having to do with the operation of gambling establishments. (Bus. & Prof. Code, § 19811, subd. (b).)<sup>1</sup> The Act tasks the Bureau with, among other responsibilities, investigating suspected violations of the Act and initiating disciplinary actions. (Bus. & Prof. Code, §§ 19826, subds. (c) & (e) & 19930, subd. (b).) Upon the Bureau filing an accusation, the Commission proceeds under Government Code section 11500 et seq. (Bus. & Prof Code, § 19930, subd. (b); see Cal. Code Regs., tit. 4, § 12554, subd. (a).) The Commission's disciplinary powers include, among other things, revocation and imposition of a fine or monetary penalty. (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 4, § 12554, subd. (d).)
- 8. In a matter involving revocation or suspension, the Bureau may recover its costs of investigation and prosecuting the proceeding. (Bus. & Prof. Code, § 19930, subd. (d).)

### REGULATION, SUITABILITY, AND THE DUTY OF DISCLOSURE

- 9. Operating a card room in California is a revocable privilege. (Bus. & Prof. Code, § 19801, subd. (k).) The Act provides for comprehensive regulation to prevent that privilege from being abused. Comprehensive regulation maintains the public trust that permissible gambling will not endanger the public health, safety, and welfare. That comprehensive regulation covers all persons, practices, and associations related to the operation of lawful gambling establishments. (Bus. & Prof. Code, § 19801, subd. (h).)
- 10. Under the Act, the Commission's responsibilities include assuring that no unqualified or disqualified person, or any person whose operations are conducted in a manner that is inimical to the public health, safety, and welfare, has any direct or indirect material involvement with a licensed gambling operation. (Bus. & Prof. Code, § 19823, subd. (a)(2).)
- 11. Determining whether a person is suitable, or whether a person has material involvement in a licensed gambling operation, begins with providing truthful information to the Bureau. Accordingly, the Act directs that every applicant for licensing or any approval required

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The statutes and regulations applicable to this Accusation are quoted in pertinent part in Appendix A.

by the Act make full and true disclosure of all information necessary to carry out the state's policies relating to licensing and the control of gambling. (Bus. & Prof. Code, § 19866.)

- 12. The Act mandatorily disqualifies from licensure any person who fails "to reveal any fact material to qualification" or supplies untrue or misleading information. (Bus. & Prof. Code, § 19859, subd. (b).) Additionally, providing untrue or misleading information or failing to provide information and documentation requires revocation of an existing license. (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 4, § 12568, subd. (c)(4) [mandatory revocation of a state gambling license].)
- character, honesty, and integrity. (Bus. & Prof. Code, § 19857, subd. (a).) The Act also makes unqualified for licensure any person whose prior activities and associations pose a threat to effective regulation and control of controlled gambling, or create or enhance the dangers of unsuitable, unfair, or illegal practices, methods, and activities in carrying on the business and financial arrangements incidental to the conduct of controlled gambling. (Bus. & Prof. Code, § 19857, subd. (b).) The license of any person who becomes unqualified for licensure must be revoked. (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 4, § 12568, subd. (c)(3) [mandatory revocation of a state gambling license].)

#### FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

# (Unqualified for Continued Licensure)

14. Respondents' gambling licenses are subject to discipline, pursuant to Business and Professions Code sections 19823 and 19857, subdivisions (a) and (b), and California Code of Regulations, title 4, section 12568, subdivision (c)(3). Respondents' continued licensure is inimical to public health, safety, and welfare. Respondents are not persons of good character, honesty, and integrity. Their prior activities pose a threat to the effective regulation and control of controlled gambling, and create or enhance the dangers of unsuitable, unfair, or illegal practices, methods, and activities in carrying on the business and financial arrangements incidental to the conduct of controlled gambling. Respondents' conduct in their dealings with the Commission and the Bureau demonstrate that they are unqualified for licensure. That conduct includes, but is not limited to, the following acts and omissions:

- a. On August 28, 2013, the Commission conducted a hearing regarding Respondents' continued suitability for licensure. Prior to the hearing, Mr. Staats and Mrs. Staats each executed a power of attorney giving their daughter (Daughter) immediate authority to exercise powers, rights, or authority as a partner or manager of a partnership. The power of attorney covered, among other things, Palomar. The Daughter was not licensed under the Act, nor had she applied for a license. Respondents failed to disclose the existence and substance of the power of attorney to the Commission and Bureau until 2015.
- b. Mr. Staats and Mrs. Staats lack the mental capacity to oversee Palomar's operations, to exercise control over the card room, and to fulfill their duties and responsibilities under the Act. Without notifying the Commission and the Bureau, they ceded oversight and control to the Daughter, who was unlicensed.
- c. On September 5, 2013, the Commission issued its Decision and Order (Order) in Case No. CGCC-2012-0314-2, following a hearing regarding Respondents' licensure. In the Order, the Commission imposed a condition on Respondents' continued licensure that any new card room lease, or renewal or modification of a lease, must have the Commission's approval prior to the effective date of the lease. Respondents failed to comply with that condition when they renegotiated the lease for Palomar Card Club.
- d. In its Order, the Commission also imposed a condition on Respondents' continued licensure requiring notification, and interim licensing, with respect to any future incapacity of Mr. Staats and Mrs. Staats. Respondents failed to timely comply with that condition.

#### SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

### (Failing To Reveal Material Information to the Bureau)

15. Respondents' gambling licenses are subject to discipline, pursuant to Business and Professions Code sections 19823, 19857, subdivisions (a) and (b), and 19859, subdivisions (a) and (b), and California Code of Regulations, title 4, section 12568, subdivisions (c)(3) and

- (4). Respondents' continued licensure is inimical to public health, safety, and welfare. Respondents are not persons of good character, honesty, and integrity. Their prior activities pose a threat to the effective regulation and control of controlled gambling, and create or enhance the dangers of unsuitable, unfair, or illegal practices, methods, and activities in carrying on the business and financial arrangements incidental to the conduct of controlled gambling. Respondents breached their duty of full and true disclosure to the Commission and the Bureau. Respondents failed to reveal to, and concealed from, the Bureau material information regarding fulfilling their statutory duties, complying with the Commission's Order, and allowing an unlicensed person to oversee card room operations, including, but not limited to, the following:
  - a. On August 28, 2013, the Commission conducted a hearing regarding Respondents' continued suitability for licensure. Prior to the hearing, Mr. Staats and Mrs. Staats each executed a power of attorney giving their daughter (Daughter) immediate authority to exercise powers, rights, or authority as a partner or manager of a partnership. The power of attorney covered, among other things, Palomar. The Daughter was not licensed under the Act, nor had she applied for a license. Respondents failed to disclose the existence and substance of the power of attorney to the Commission and Bureau until 2015.
  - b. Mr. Staats and Mrs. Staats lack the mental capacity to oversee Palomar's operations, to exercise control over the card room, and to fulfill their duties and responsibilities under the Act. Without notifying the Commission and the Bureau, they ceded oversight and control to the Daughter, who was unlicensed.
  - c. In the Order, the Commission imposed a condition on Respondents' continued licensure requiring notification, and interim licensing, with respect to any future incapacity of Mr. Staats and Mrs. Staats. Respondents failed to timely comply with that condition.

#### **FACTORS IN AGGRAVATION**

16. In 2013, the Commission considered evidence, and concluded, that Respondents allowed the Palomar Card Room to be managed and operated in a manner that was not permissible under the Act and regulations adopted pursuant to the Act. The Commission concluded that Respondents' failure to operate the card room using suitable methods of operation, and failure to maintain adequate security controls, posed a threat to the public interest and to the effective regulation and control of controlled gambling. The Commission further concluded that such deleterious conduct warranted the imposition of conditions on Respondents' continued licensure. As alleged above, Respondents failed to comply with the some of the conditions. Additionally, Respondents concealed from the Commission and the Bureau that they had ceded their managerial powers to their unlicensed Daughter.

### **PRAYER**

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Commission issue a decision:

- 1. Revoking California State Gambling License Number GEGE-001008, issued to Respondent Palomar Card Club;
- 2. Revoking California State Gambling License Number GEOW-002374, issued to Respondent Donald Staats;
- 3. Revoking California State Gambling License Number GEOW-002375, issued to Respondent Susan Staats;
- 4. Fining Respondents, jointly and severally, according to proof and to the appropriate extent allowed by law;
- 5. Awarding Complainant the costs of investigation and costs of bringing this Accusation before the Commission, including attorneys fees, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 19930, subdivisions (d) and (f), in a sum according to proof; and
  - 6. Taking such other and further action as the Commission may deem appropriate.

Dated: October /\_, 2015

WAYNE J. QUINT, JR., Chief
Bureau of Gambling Control
California Department of Justice

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	• •
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	

28

#### APPENDIX A – STATUTORY AND REGULATORY PROVISIONS

### **Jurisdictional Provisions**

- 1. Business and Professions Code section 19811 provides, in part:
  - (b) Jurisdiction, including jurisdiction over operation and concentration, and supervision over gambling establishments in this state and over all persons or things having to do with the operations of gambling establishments is vested in the commission.
- 2. Business and Professions Code section 19823 provides:
  - (a) The responsibilities of the commission include, without limitation, all of the following:
    - (1) Assuring that licenses, approvals, and permits are not issued to, or held by, unqualified or disqualified persons, or by persons whose operations are conducted in a manner that is inimical to the public health, safety, or welfare.
    - (2) Assuring that there is no material involvement, directly or indirectly, with a licensed gambling operation, or the ownership or management thereof, by unqualified or disqualified persons, or by persons whose operations are conducted in a manner that is inimical to the public health, safety, or welfare.
  - (b) For the purposes of this section, "unqualified person" means a person who is found to be unqualified pursuant to the criteria set forth in Section 19857, and "disqualified person" means a person who is found to be disqualified pursuant to the criteria set forth in Section 19859.
- 3. Business and Professions Code section 19824 provides, in part:

The commission shall have all powers necessary and proper to enable it fully and effectually to carry out the policies and purposes of this chapter, including, without limitation, the power to do all of the following:

(b) For any cause deemed reasonable by the commission, . . . limit, condition, or restrict any license, permit, or approval, or impose any fine upon any person licensed or approved. The commission may condition, restrict, discipline, or take action against the license of an individual owner endorsed on the license certificate of the gambling enterprise whether or not the commission takes action against the license of the gambling enterprise.

\* \* \*

Accusation

2	Business and Professions Code sections 19930, subdivision (c), and 19943, subdivision (b)		
3	Cost Recovery Provisions		
4	6. Business and Professions Code section 19930 provides, in part:		
5	(b) If, after any investigation, the department is satisfied that a license, permit, finding of suitability, or approval should be suspended or revoked, it		
6 7	shall file an accusation with the commission in accordance with Chapter 5 (commencing with Section 11500) of Part 1 of Division 3 of Title 2 of the Government Code.		
8	* * *		
9			
10	(d) In any case in which the administrative law judge recommends that the commission revoke, suspend, or deny a license, the administrative law		
11	judge may, upon presentation of suitable proof, order the licensee or applicant for a license to pay the department the reasonable costs of the		
12	investigation and prosecution of the case.		
13	(1) The costs assessed pursuant to this subdivision shall be fixed		
14	by the administrative law judge and may not be increased by the commission. When the commission does not adopt a proposed decision		
15	and remands the case to the administrative law judge, the administrative law judge may not increase the amount of any costs assessed in the		
16	proposed decision.		
17	(2) The department may enforce the order for payment in the		
18	superior court in the county in which the administrative hearing was held. The right of enforcement shall be in addition to any other rights		
19	that the division may have as to any licensee to pay costs.  (3) In any judicial action for the recovery of costs, proof of the		
20	commission's decision shall be conclusive proof of the validity of the		
21	order of payment and the terms for payment.		
22	* * *		
23	(f) For purposes of this section, "costs" include costs incurred for any		
24	of the following:		
25	(1) The investigation of the case by the department.		
26	(2) The preparation and prosecution of the case by the Office of the Attorney General.		
27			
28			

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

(h) Public trust and confidence can only be maintained by strict comprehensive regulation of all persons, locations, practices, associations, and activities related to the operation of lawful gambling

Business and Professions Code, section 19801 provides, in part:

establishments and the manufacture and distribution of permissible gambling equipment.

(i) All gambling operations, all persons having a significant involvement in gambling operations, all establishments where gambling is conducted, and all manufacturers, sellers, and distributors of gambling equipment must be licensed and regulated to protect the public health, safety, and general welfare of the residents of this state as an exercise of the police powers of the state.

- (k) In order to effectuate state policy as declared herein, it is necessary that gambling establishments, activities, and equipment be licensed, that persons participating in those activities be licensed or registered, that certain transactions, events, and processes involving gambling establishments and owners of gambling establishments be subject to prior approval or permission, that unsuitable persons not be permitted to associate with gambling activities or gambling establishments . . . . Any license or permit issued, or other approval granted pursuant to this chapter, is declared to be a revocable privilege. and no holder acquires any vested right therein or thereunder.
- Business and Professions Code section 19850 provides, in part:

Every person . . . who receives, directly or indirectly, any compensation or reward, or any percentage or share of the money or property played, for keeping, running, or carrying on any controlled game in this state, shall apply for and obtain from the commission, and shall thereafter maintain, a valid state gambling license, key employee license, or work permit . . . . In any criminal prosecution for violation of this section, the punishment shall be as provided in Section 337j of the Penal Code.

Business and Professions Code section 19852 provides, in part:

[A]n owner of a gambling enterprise that is not a natural person shall not be eligible for a state gambling license unless each of the following persons individually applies for an obtains a state gambling license:

	1	l
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		÷
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
		11

28

(d) If the owner is a partnership, then every general . . . partner of, and every trustee or person . . . having or acquiring a direct or beneficial interest, in that partnership owner.

\* \* \*

- (i) Every employee, agent, guardian, personal representative, lender, or holder of indebtedness of the owner who, in the judgment of the commission, has the power to exercise significant influence over the gambling operation.
- 10. Business and Professions Code section 19855 provides, in part:

[E]very person who, by statute or regulation, is required to hold a state license shall obtain the license prior to engaging in the activity or occupying the position with respect to which the license is required.

11. Business and Professions Code section 19857 provides:

No gambling license shall be issued unless, based on all the information and documents submitted, the commission is satisfied that the applicant is all of the following:

- (a) A person of good character, honesty and integrity.
- (b) A person whose prior activities, criminal record, if any, reputation, habits, and associations do not pose a threat to the public interest of this state, or to the effective regulation and control of controlled gambling, or create or enhance the dangers of unsuitable, unfair, or illegal practices, methods, and activities in the conduct of controlled gambling or in the carrying on of the business and financial arrangements incidental thereto.
- (c) A person that is in all other respects qualified to be licensed as provided in this chapter.
- 12. Business and Professions Code section 19859 provides, in part:

The commission shall deny a license to any applicant who is disqualified for any of the following reasons:

- (a) Failure of the applicant to clearly establish eligibility and qualification in accordance with this chapter.
- (b) Failure of the applicant to provide information, documentation, and assurances required by the Chief, or failure of the applicant to reveal any fact material to qualification, or the supplying of information that is untrue or misleading as to a material fact pertaining to the qualification criteria.

## 13. Business and Professions Code section 19866 provides:

An applicant for licensing or for any approval or consent required by this chapter, shall make full and true disclosure of all information to the department and the commission as necessary to carry out the policies of this state relating to licensing, registration, and control of gambling.

# 14. Business and Professions Code section 19920 provides:

It is the policy of the State of California to require that all establishments wherein controlled gambling is conducted in this state be operated in a manner suitable to protect the public health, safety, and general welfare of the residents of the state. The responsibility for the employment and maintenance of suitable methods of operation rests with the owner licensee, and willful or persistent use or toleration of methods of operation deemed unsuitable by the commission or by local government shall constitute grounds for license revocation or other disciplinary action.

### 15. Business and Professions Code section 19922 provides:

No owner licensee shall operate a gambling enterprise in violation of any provision of this chapter or any regulation adopted pursuant to this chapter.

16. California Code of Regulations, title 4, section 12556, subdivision (c), provides, in part:

A state gambling license, finding of suitability, or approval granted by the Commission . . . and an owner license for a gambling establishment if the owner licensee has committed a separate violation from any violations committed by the gambling establishment shall be subject to revocation by the Commission on any of the following grounds:

\* \* \*

- (3) If the Commission finds the holder no longer meets any criterion for eligibility, qualification, suitability or continued operation, including those set forth in Business and Professions Code section 19857, 19858, or 19880, as applicable, or
- (4) If the Commission finds the holder currently meets any of the criteria for mandatory denial of an application set forth in Business and Professions Code sections 19859 or 19860.