

-IN THE-
Superior Court of the County of Santa Barbara,
STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The Roman Catholic Bishop of Monterey.

Plaintiff,

vs.

Salomon Cota, Guadalupe Pina and Maria Pina, Francisco Estrada and Maria Solares, Maria Antonia Aguirre, Lulau Pina, Felicitana Aguirre, Josefa Aguirre, Ines Pina, Virginia Pina, Florencia Pina, and Joaquin Pina, Juan Miranda, Clara Miranda, Isabel Miranda, Sisto Miranda and Petra Miranda, Jose Dolores, Eusebia and Estevan, Fernando Ortega, Catarina Ortega, Victoria Ortega, Juana Ortega, E Domingo Ortega, Francis V. Ortega, Vicente P. Ortega, Leonardo P. Ortega, Roberto N. Ortega and Julio R. Ortega, Agustin Flores, Francisco Flores, Maria Flores, Acencion Flores, Agustin Flores and Jose Solares, Adolfo Pina, Francisco Yanes, Guillermo Cardona and Eduvigis Cardona, Domingo Pajuri and Theopista Pajuri, Francisco Vernal, Margarita Vernal, Marfiza Vernal, Rosa, Juan Cota, and Eliza Robles, Nicolas Robles, Vicente Carrillo, Concepcion Carrillo, Jose Ramon Carrillo, Guadalupe Carrillo, Manuela Carrillo, Teresa B. Carrillo, Vicente M. Carrillo, Vicenta Ines Carrillo and Jose Carrillo, Felis Carrillo, Miguel Carrillo and Maria Vernal and Francisco Estudillo as Agent of the United States for the Indians of the Mission Tule (Consolidated) Agency in California.

Defendants.

Action brought in the Superior Court of the County of Santa Barbara, State of California, and the Complaint filed in said County of Santa Barbara, in the office of the Clerk of said Superior Court.

The People of the State of California send Greeting to: Salomon Cota, Guadalupe Pina and Maria Pina, Francisco Estrada and Maria Solares, Maria Antonia Aguirre, Lulau Pina, Felicitana Aguirre, Josefa Aguirre, Ines Pina, Virginia Pina, Florencia Pina, and Joaquin Pina, Juan Miranda, Clara Miranda, Isabel Miranda, Sisto Miranda and Petra Miranda, Jose Dolores, Eusebia and Estevan, Fernando Ortega, Catarina Ortega, Victoria Ortega, Juana Ortega, E Domingo Ortega, Francis V. Ortega, Vicente P. Ortega, Leonardo P. Ortega, Roberto N. Ortega and Julio R. Ortega, Agustin Flores, Francisco Flores, Maria Flores, Acencion Flores, Agustin Flores and Jose Solares, Adolfo Pina, Francisco Yanes, Guillermo Cardona and Eduvigis Cardona, Domingo Pajuri and Theopista Pajuri, Francisco Vernal, Margarita Vernal, Marfiza Vernal, Rosa, Juan Cota, and Eliza Robles, Nicolas Robles, Vicente Carrillo, Concepcion Carrillo, Jose Ramon Carrillo, Guadalupe Carrillo, Manuela Carrillo, Teresa B. Carrillo, Vicente M. Carrillo, Vicenta Ines Carrillo and Jose Carrillo, Felis Carrillo, Miguel Carrillo and Maria Vernal and Francisco Estudillo as Agent of the United States for the Indians of the Mission Tule (Consolidated) Agency in California, Defendants.

You are hereby required to appear in an action brought against you by the above named Plaintiff in the Superior Court of the County of Santa Barbara, State of California, and to answer the complaint filed therein, within ten days (exclusive of the day of service,) after the service on you of this summons—if served within this County, otherwise within thirty days.

The said action is brought to obtain Judgment determining, settling and establishing the boundaries of a certain portion of a parcel of land belonging to said plaintiff, said parcel of land being a part of the Canada de los Pinos or College Rancho in the County of Santa Barbara in the State of California and said portion thereof being occupied generally and in common by a part of the band or village of Mission Indians, known as Santa Ynez Indians.

And also determining all claims adverse to said plaintiff to a part belonging to said plaintiff of said Canada de los Pinos or College Rancho including said parcel of land and quieting the title of said plaintiff thereto; all of which will more fully appear from said complaint, to which reference is hereby made for greater certainty.

And you are hereby notified that if you fail to appear and answer the said complaint as above required, the said Plaintiff will apply to the Court for the relief demanded in the complaint.

Given under my hand and the seal of the said Superior Court of the County of Santa Barbara, State of California, this 11th day of January in the year of our Lord, one thousand eight hundred and ninety-seven.

[SEAL.]

By

H. H. Doyle

Clerk.

Deputy Clerk.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55

IN THE
Superior Court of the County of Santa Barbara,
STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The Roman Catholic Bishop of Monterey,

Plaintiff,

against

Salomon Cota, Guadalupe Pina and Maria Pina, Francisco Estrada and Maria Solares, Maria Antonia Aguirre, Desiderio Pina otherwise known as Linfan Pina, Feliciano Aguirre, Josefa Aguirre, Sara Aguirre, Cypriano Cornelio Aguirre, Firmina Aguirre, Ines Pina, Virginia Pina, Florencia Pascuala Pina and Joaquin Eliseo Pina, Juan Miranda, Clara Miranda, Isabel Miranda, Sisto Miranda, Petra Francisca Miranda and Diego Miranda, Jose Dolores, Eusebia Yanes and Estevan Solares, Fernando Ortega, Catarina Ortega, Victoria Ortega, Juana Ortega, E. Domingo Ortega, Francisca V. Ortega, Vicente F. Ortega, Leonardo P. Ortega, Roberto N. Ortega and Julio R. Ortega, Agustin Flores, Francisca Flores, Maria Flores, Francisco Jose Flores, Jose Agustin Flores and Jose Solares, Adolfo Pina, Francisco Yanes, Guillermo Cardona and Eduviges Cardona, Domingo Pajuri and Teopista Pajuri, Francisco Vernal, Margarita Vernal, Rosa Corrales, Juan Cota, Nicolas Robles and Oswaldo Carlos Robles, Maria Antonia de Padua, Vicente Carrillo, Maria Concepcion Carrillo, Jose Ramon Carrillo, Guadalupe Carrillo, Manuela Carrillo, Teresa B. Carrillo, Vicenta Maria Madalena Carrillo, Vicente Ines Carrillo, Jose Carrillo and Micaela Carrillo, Felis Carrillo, Miguel Carrillo and Maria Vernal, Justo Ripor, Cecilia Ripor, Juan Ripor, Alfredo Uvieda and Anita Bandina, and Lucius A. Wright as Agent of the United States for the Indians of the Mission Tule River Agency in California,

Defendants.

Amended
and
Supplemental
Complaint
filed
pursuant to
Order entered,
May 16, 1899.

The above named plaintiff, The Roman Catholic Bishop of Monterey, by Canfield & Starbuck, Esqs., its attorneys, complaining by this its amended and supplemental complaint of the above named defendants, Salomon Cota, Guadalupe Pina and Maria Pina, Francisco Estrada and Maria Solares, Maria Antonia Aguirre, Desiderio Pina otherwise known as Linfan Pina, Feliciano Aguirre, Josefa Aguirre, Sara Aguirre, Cypriano Cornelio Aguirre, Firmina Aguirre, Ines Pina, Virginia Pina, Florencia Pascuala Pina and Joaquin Eliseo Pina, Juan Miranda, Clara Miranda, Isabel Miranda, Sisto Miranda, Petra Francisca Miranda and Diego Miranda, Jose Dolores, Eusebia Yanes and Estevan Solares, Fernando Ortega, Catarina Ortega, Victoria Ortega, Juana Ortega, E. Domingo Ortega, Francisca V. Ortega, Vicente F. Ortega, Leonardo P. Ortega, Roberto N. Ortega and Julio R. Ortega, Agustin Flores, Francisca Flores, Maria Flores, Francisco Jose Flores, Jose Agustin Flores and Jose Solares, Adolfo Pina, Francisco Yanes, Guillermo Cardona and Eduviges Cardona, Domingo Pajuri and Teopista Pajuri, Francisco Vernal, Margarita Vernal, Rosa Corrales, Juan Cota, Nicolas Robles and Oswaldo Carlos Robles, Maria Antonia de Padua, Vicente Carrillo, Maria Concepcion Carrillo, Jose Ramon Carrillo, Guadalupe Carrillo, Manuela Carrillo, Teresa B. Carrillo, Vicenta Maria Madalena Carrillo, Vicente Ines Carrillo, Jose Carrillo and Micaela Carrillo, Felis Carrillo, Miguel Carrillo and Maria Vernal, Justo Ripor, Cecilia Ripor, Juan Ripor, Alfredo Uvieda and Anita Bandina, and Lucius A. Wright as Agent of the United States for the Indians of the Mission Tule River Agency in California, alleges:

FIRST. For a first cause of action.

I. That said plaintiff at the time and times hereinafter mentioned was and still is a sole corporation existing first under and by virtue of the laws of the Republic of Mexico and subsequently under and by virtue of the laws of the State of California and now radicated in the City of Los Angeles in the County of Los Angeles in the State of California.

II. That said plaintiff is the owner in fee simple and in possession of two certain parcels of land situate lying and being in the County of Santa Barbara in the State of California, which are re-

1 respectively bounded and described as follows, to wit:

2 a. Beginning on the northerly bank of the Santa Ynez River, at a point in the middle of the
3 channel of the Creek called "Zanja de Cota," or Cota Creek where it flowed into the Santa Ynez
4 River in the winter of the years 1880 and 1881, and running thence along the center line of said Cota
5 Creek up-stream according to its meanders about three miles to a stake six inches square showing
6 about two feet above the ground and marked with the Roman numeral I, thence due east one hun-
7 dred and twenty chains to a similar stake marked with the Roman numeral II, thence due north
8 forty chains to the southeasterly corner of a square section one square mile in extent designated as a pro-
9 posed town site and lying near the center of a certain tract of land known as the Canada de los Pinos
10 or College Rancho, thence due west forty chains along the southerly side of said proposed town site
11 to the center of said southerly side thereof, thence due north along a line drawn through the center
12 of said town site forty chains to said center of said town site, thence due east along another line
13 drawn through the center of said town site forty chains to another and similar stake marked with
14 the Roman numeral III standing in the center of the easterly line of said town site, thence continuing
15 in the same course due east eighty chains more to another and similar stake marked with the Roman
16 numeral IIII, thence due north eighty chains to another and similar stake marked with the Roman
17 numeral V, thence due east eighty chains to another and similar stake marked with the Roman numeral
18 VI, thence continuing the same course due east eighty chains further to a corner, thence due south four
19 miles and over to the middle of the Santa Ynez River, thence following the meanders of said river
20 through the center line thereof down-stream about five and three-quarters miles to a point directly oppo-
21 site the point of beginning and thence directly to the point of beginning.

22 b. And also the north westerly quarter of said proposed town site, beginning at the corner
23 formed by the intersection of the fifth and sixth of the above given courses of the parcel of land last
24 above described, that is to say, at the center of the said section so set apart for a proposed town site
25 as aforesaid and running thence due west forty chains to the westerly line thereof; thence due north
26 forty chains to the northwest corner thereof; thence due east forty chains and thence due south forty
27 chains to the last named point of commencement.

28 Said two parcels above described taken together containing in all eleven thousand and five hun-
29 dred acres of land and being parts or portions of said Canada de los Pinos or College Rancho set off
30 and conveyed to said plaintiff by The Roman Catholic Arch-Bishop of San Francisco both individu-
31 ally and as a corporation sole by deed bearing date on the 8th day of February, 1882, and recorded in
32 the office of the recorder of said County of Santa Barbara in Book Z of deeds at pages 96 to 100,
33 March 27, 1882.

34 III. That said plaintiff derives title to said two parcels of land and both and each of them by
35 succession and mesne conveyances from Joseph S. Alemany, Bishop of Monterey.

36 IV. That said Joseph S. Alemany, Bishop of Monterey, held in possession the whole of said
37 Canada de los Pinos or College Rancho including said two parcels of land and both and each of them
38 and derived title to the same under and by virtue of a certain Patent of the United States of America
39 bearing date on the 28th day of February, 1861, and recorded in said Recorder's office in Book A of
40 Patents at Pages 45 to 50, September 29, 1860.

41 V. That by said Patent, the United States of America granted and conveyed the said Canada
42 de los Pinos or College Rancho to said Joseph S. Alemany, Bishop of Monterey, in fee simple but with
43 the stipulation embodied in the terms thereof that the same should not affect the interests of third
44 persons.

45 VI. That said Patent was issued pursuant to a decree rendered on the 18th day of December,
46 1855, by the Board of Land Commissioners of the United States appointed to ascertain and settle the
47 private land claims in the State of California under and pursuant to the provisions of the Act of Con-
48 gress approved on the third day of March, one thousand eight hundred and fifty-one, entitled "An
49 Act to ascertain and settle the private land claims in the State of California" whereby said Board of
50 Land Commissioners confirmed to said Joseph S. Alemany, Bishop of Monterey, the title to said Can-
51 ada de los Pinos or College Rancho as claimed by him under and by virtue of two grants thereof
52 made by Manuel Micheltoarena, Mexican Governor of California, bearing date respectively on the 16th
53 day of March and the 26th day of September, 1844, and a Testimonial of Juridical Measurement and
54 possession thereof made and given in conformity with said grants and bearing date on the 18th day
55 of April, 1845, excepting and reserving by said decree of confirmation from said Canada de los Pinos

1 or College Rancho such portions thereof as belonged to individual Neophytes or others at the time
2 said Judicial possession was given, to wit: on the 14th day of April, 1845.

3 VII. That the Neophytes mentioned in said decree of confirmation were all and every of
4 them Indians known as Mission Indians, and were all and every of them members of the band
5 or village of Mission Indians known as Santa Ynez Indians.

6 VIII. That said band or village of Mission Indians known as Santa Ynez Indians occupied
7 at said date generally and in common and had long before said date continuously occupied generally
8 and in common a certain portion but no more of said Canada de los Pinos or College Rancho includ-
9 ing a certain portion but no more of the first of said two parcels of land above in the second paragraph
10 of this complaint described and at said date used generally and in common thereon but not elsewhere
11 and as appurtenant thereto but not otherwise and long before said date had continuously generally
12 and in common used thereon but not elsewhere and as appurtenant thereto but not otherwise and ever
13 since have continuously generally and in common used thereon but not elsewhere and as appurtenant
14 thereto but not otherwise so much and only so much of the waters of said Zanja de Cota or Cota Creek,
15 taken therefrom at points along and in the course thereof above said portion of said first described
16 of said two parcels of land as had been before said date, was at said date and since said date has been
17 needed by the members of said band or village so occupying said portion of said first above described
18 of said two parcels of land as aforesaid for domestic use and for the watering of stock and for pur-
19 poses of irrigation of said portion of said parcel of land so occupied by them but for no other purpose
20 and are entitled as of right to occupy generally and in common said portion of said first above de-
21 scribed of said two parcels of land and to take by ditches flumes or pipes from points along and on
22 the course of said Zanja de Cota or Cota Creek above the same so much of the waters of said Zanja
23 de Cota or Cota Creek as aforesaid but no more of said land or of said water than as aforesaid for as
24 long a time as their said present general and common occupation of said portion of said first above
25 described of said two parcels of land shall be continuously maintained and not abandoned.

26 IX. That said portion of said first above described of said two parcels of land so subject to
27 the continuous general and common right of occupancy of said band or village as aforesaid lies in a
28 southwesterly direction from the village of Santa Ynez near the east bank of said Zanja de Cota or
29 Cota Creek but not bordering thereon or bounded thereby or riparian thereto and contains about one
30 hundred and twenty acres of land and the said continuous general and common right of occupancy
31 thereof by said band or village is subject to the right of said plaintiff to cross the same with a reason-
32 able number of pipes flumes or ditches, reasonably located, for the purpose of conveying the remainder
33 of the waters of said Zanja de Cota or Cota Creek to other portions of said Canada de los Pinos or
34 College Rancho or elsewhere.

35 X. That no portion or portions of said Canada de los Pinos or College Rancho or of said
36 two parcels of land above in said second paragraph of this complaint described or of either of them or
37 of the waters of said Zanja de Cota or Cota Creek belonged at said date or had ever before belonged
38 or have ever since belonged to any individual Neophyte or Neophytes or other individual member or
39 members of said band or village of Mission Indians known as the Santa Ynez Indians nor did any
40 individual Neophyte or Neophytes or other individual member or members of said band or village
41 have at said date or at any time prior thereto nor has or have any individual Neophyte or Neophytes
42 or other member or members of said band or village ever since had any right title or interest to or in
43 said Canada de los Pinos or College Rancho or to or in said two parcels of land or to or in
44 either of them or to or in any portion of said Canada de los Pinos or College Rancho or of said
45 two parcels of land or of either of them or to or in the waters of said Zanja de Cota or Cota Creek
46 or any part thereof except such right or interest as may have belonged to said Neophyte or Neo-
47 phytes or other member or members of said band or village as his or their individual share or shares
48 of said general and common right of said band or village of occupancy of said above mentioned por-
49 tion of said Canada de los Pinos or College Rancho including said above mentioned portion of said
50 first above described of said two parcels of land and of user of said above mentioned portion of the
51 waters of said Zanja de Cota or Cota Creek.

52 XI. That no portion or portions of said Canada de los Pinos or College Rancho or of said
53 above described two parcels of land or of either of them belonged at said date or at any time prior
54 thereto to any other or third person or persons not a member or members of said band or village
55 nor at said date or at any time prior thereto had any other or third person or persons not

1 a member or members of said band or village any right, title or interest whatever to or in said Can-
2 ada de los Pinos or College Rancho or to or in said two parcels of land or either them or to or in
3 any portion of said Canada de los Pinos or College Rancho or of said two parcels of land or of either
4 of them.

5 XII. That through the neglect of said band or village of Santa Ynez Indians and of all the
6 individual members thereof, the boundaries of said portion of said Canada de los Pinos or College
7 Rancho including said portion of said first above described of said parcels of land and the boundaries
8 of said portion of said first above described of said parcels of land so subject to the common and
9 general right of occupancy of said band or village as aforesaid have never been settled and through
10 and by reason of said neglect as well as through and by reason of lapse of time, accident and mistake,
11 said boundaries have become and are confused, vague, obscure and uncertain.

12 XIII. That the determination of said boundaries affects a very large number of persons and
13 the same cannot be determined by actions for the recovery of real property without a great multiplicity
14 of said actions and said multiplicity of said actions will be prevented by the determination of said bound-
15 aries in this action which is brought to determine, settle and establish the same and except in and
16 by and of which the determination, settlement and establishment of said boundaries is impracticable.

17 XIV. That the said defendants Salomon Gota, Guadalupe Pina and Maria Pina, Francisco
18 Estrada and Maria Solares, Maria Antonia Aguirre, Desiderio Pina otherwise known as Linfan Pina,
19 Felicitas Aguirre, Josefa Aguirre, Sara Aguirre, Cyrano Cornelio Aguirre, Firmina Aguirre, Ines
20 Pina, Virginia Pina, Florencia Pascuda Pina and Joaquin Eliseo Pina, Juan Miranda, Clara Miranda, Isa-
21 bel Miranda, Sisto Miranda, Petra Francisca Miranda and Diego Miranda, Jose Dolores, Eusebia Yanes
22 and Estevan Solares, Fernando Ortega, Catarina Ortega, Victoria Ortega, Juana Ortega, E Domingo Or-
23 tega, Francisca V. Ortega, Vicente F. Ortega, Leonardo P. Ortega, Roberto N. Ortega and Julio R. Or-
24 tega, Agustin Flores, Francisca Flores, Maria Flores, Francisco Jose Flores, Jose Agustin Flores and Jose
25 Solares are all and every of them members of said band or village of Santa Ynez Indians and reside
26 on said portion of said first above described of said two parcels of land and occupy the same gener-
27 ally and to common and no other member or members of said band or village now reside on said two
28 above described parcels of land or on either of them or on any part thereof or occupy the same or either
29 of them or any part thereof.

30 XV. That the said defendants Adolfo Pina, Francisco Yanes, Guillermo Cardona and Edu-
31 viges Cardona, Domingo Pajuri and Teopista Pajuri, Francisco Vernal, Margarita Vernal, Rosa Cor-
32 rales, Juan Gota and Oswaldo Carlos Robles, Maria Antonia de Padua, Vicente Carrillo, Maria Con-
33 cepcion Carrillo, Jose Ramon Carrillo, Guadalupe Carrillo, Manuela Carrillo, Teresa B. Carrillo,
34 Vicenta Maria Madalena Carrillo, Vicente Ines Carrillo, Jose Carrillo and Micaela Carrillo, Felis
35 Carrillo, Miguel Carrillo and Maria Vernal are all and every one of them members of said band or
36 village of Santa Ynez Indians and do not nor does any of them reside on said two parcels of land
37 or on either of them or on any part thereof or occupy the said two parcels of land or either of
38 them or any part thereof, and the said defendants in this paragraph of this complaint mentioned to-
39 gether with said defendants mentioned in the last preceding paragraph of this complaint constitute
40 all the members of said band or village of Santa Ynez Indians known to said plaintiff.

41 XVI. That the said defendant Nicolas Robles was the husband of Eliza Robles one of the
42 members of said band or village of Santa Ynez Indians who was formerly a party defendant to this
43 action but is now deceased and that the said defendants Justo Ripor, Cecilia Ripor, Juan Ripor, Al-
44 fredo Uviola and Anita Bandina are said to have once lived among said band or village of Santa Ynez
45 Indians and may have or claim some right title or interest to or in some part of said two parcels of
46 land or of one of them.

47 XVII. That the remaining members of said band or village of Santa Ynez Indians are or
48 may be very numerous and all and every of them are wholly unknown to said plaintiff and it is imprac-
49 ticable to make them or any of them defendants to this action.

50 XVIII. That said defendants are sufficient in number fairly, honestly and completely to try the
51 questions involved in this cause of action and to protect the interests therein of the whole of said band
52 or village of Santa Ynez Indians.

53 XIX. That Lucius A. Wright is the Agent of the United States for the Indians of the Mis-
54 sion Tu' River Agency in California duly appointed to be such agent by the President by and with
55 the advice and consent of the Senate of the United States by Commission duly executed and bearing

1 date on the first day of June, 1807, for the term of four years from the date of said Commission and
2 charged by Section 2058 of the Revised Statutes of the United States with the duty within his agency
3 of managing and superintending the intercourse with the Indians according to law and of executing
4 and performing such duties as may be prescribed by the Commissioner of the United States
5 of Indian Affairs.

6 XX. That said band or village of Santa Ynez Indians is within said Mission Tule River Agency
7 in California and the duty of protecting the rights of said band or village has been specially prescribed
8 by the said Commissioner of the United States of Indian Affairs to said defendant Lucius A. Wright
9 as Agent of the United States for the Indians of the Mission Tule River Agency in California as
10 aforesaid.

11 SECOND. For a second and separate cause of action.

12 XXI. That the allegations contained in the foregoing paragraphs of this complaint marked
13 I, II, III, IV, V, VI, and VII are true and the same and all and every of them are hereby referred to and
14 made part of this second and separate cause of action.

15 XXII. That divers individual members of said band or village of Santa Ynez Indians and
16 divers other and third persons including all of the said above named defendants except the said defend-
17 ant Lucius A. Wright as Agent of the United States for the Indians of the Mission Tule River Agency
18 in California claim as excepted and reserved by said decree of confirmation as aforesaid and by
19 said stipulation embodied in the terms of said Patent as aforesaid divers estates and interests in said
20 two above described parcels of land and in each or one of them adverse to said plaintiff but
21 that said claims of said individual members of said band or village of Santa Ynez Indians and other
22 and third persons are all and every of them wholly without any right whatever and said individuals and
23 other and third persons have not nor has any of them any estate right, title or interest whatever in or
24 to said parcels of land or in or to either of them or in or to any part thereof.

25 XXIII. That said above named defendants except said defendant Lucius A. Wright as Agent
26 of the United States for the Indians of the Mission Tule River Agency in California are all of said
27 individual members of said band or village of Santa Ynez Indians and of said other and third persons
28 known to said plaintiff and are sufficient in number fairly, honestly and completely to try the questions
29 involved in this cause of action and to protect the interests therein of all of said individual members
30 of said band or village of Santa Ynez Indians and of said other and third persons.

31 XXIV. That the remainder of said individual members of said band or village of Santa Ynez
32 Indians and of said other and third persons are or may be very numerous and all and every of them
33 are wholly unknown to said plaintiff and it is impracticable to make them or any of them defend-
34 ants to this action.

35 WHEREFORE, said plaintiff demands,

36 1. That inquiries be made by advertisement or otherwise as the Court may direct for all
37 individual members of said band or village of Santa Ynez Indians and for all other or third persons
38 claiming any estate, right, title or interest to or in said parcels of land or either of them or to or in
39 any part thereof as excepted or reserved by said decree of confirmation or by said stipulation em-
40 bodied in the terms of said Patent and not already above named as defendants to this action and that
41 they and all of them be required by said advertisement or otherwise as the Court may direct to come
42 in and become and be made parties defendant to this action on or before a day to be fixed by the Court
43 for that purpose.

44 2. That the nature extent, terms, conditions and limitations of all the estate, right title or interest
45 of said band or village of Santa Ynez Indians to or in said first described of said two parcels of land
46 and the boundaries of the part or portion of said parcel of land subject to said estate right, title or interest
47 and the nature, extent, terms, conditions and limitations of all the estate right title or interest of said band
48 or village of Santa Ynez Indians to or in the waters of said Zanja de Cota or Cota Creek be deter-
49 mined, settled and established by a judgment of this court.

50 3. That all the individual members of said band or village of Santa Ynez Indians and all
51 other or third persons claiming any estate, right, title or interest to or in said parcels of land or either
52 of them or to or in any part thereof or to or in the water of said Zanja de Cota or Cota Creek as
53 excepted or reserved by said decree of confirmation or by said stipulation embodied in the terms of
54 said patent be required to set forth the nature and extent of their said claims and that all said claims
55 adverse to said plaintiff may be determined by Judgment of this Court.

