

12532



# United States Department of the Interior

BUREAU OF INDIAN AFFAIRS  
Pacific Regional Office  
2800 Cottage Way  
Sacramento, California 95825

IN REPLY REFER TO:  
**FOIA 2011-01274**

**SEP 29 2011**

Cheryl A. Schmit, Director  
Stand Up For California  
P. O. Box 355  
Penryn, CA 95663

Dear Ms. Schmit:

On August 30, 2011, you submitted a request under the Freedom of Information Act for documents pertaining to the Santa Ynez Chumash. In response to your request, copies of the following are enclosed:

1. Typed version of first page of Complaint filed February 12, 1897, S.C. No. 3926. We did not locate a complete copy of the 1897 Complaint.
2. Typed version of the Decree in the Court Case No. 3926 dated March 31, 1906.
3. Typed version of the July 30, 1898 Agreement between the Bishop of Monterey and the U.S. Indian Agent.

Should you seek copies of the original Decree, please note on the Complaint page, it is specified that a full copy of the Court Decree was recorded in Santa Barbara County records in Book 114, page 187, of Deeds.

The search time and copy charges in providing the enclosed documents are less than the amount specified at 43 CFR 2.20(a)(2), and we are not requesting that you pay fees at this time.

If you consider this response to be a denial of your request, you may file an appeal by writing to the Office of the Solicitor, U.S. Department of the Interior, 1849 C Street, N.W., MS-6556-MIB, Washington, D.C. 20240. Your appeal must be received no later than 30 workdays after the date of this letter. Both the envelope and the letter should be marked "Freedom of Information Appeal." A copy of your original request and this letter should accompany your appeal, along with any information you have which leads you to believe the records do exist, including where they might be found, if the location is known to you.

Questions regarding this response may be directed to Carmen Facio, (916) 978-6062, or to Douglas Garcia, FOIA Coordinator, (916) 978-6052.

Sincerely,

Regional Director

Enclosures

cc: Superintendent, SCA, w/o enclosures



IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA  
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA

The Roman Catholic Bishop  
of Monterey,  
Plaintiff.

COMPLAINT

Filed Feb. 12, 1897  
S. C. No. 3926  
Box

vs

Salomon Cote, et al  
Defendants.

**Note:**

This Complaint covers many  
pages of printed matter.

A full copy or abstract of  
same has been omitted, and  
reference is hereby made to  
the Decree in this Action  
a full copy of same recorded  
in Book 116, page 187 of Deeds.

See page 97 of this Abstract.

**D E C R E E**

**IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE COUNTY OF  
SANTA BARBARA, STATE OF CALIFORNIA.**

**Superior Court Case  
No. 3926**

**THE ROMAN CATHOLIC BISHOP OF MONTEREY,  
Plaintiff**

**Dated March 31, 1906  
Rec. April 2, 1906  
Book 114, page 187,  
Deeds.**

**vs**

**SALOMON COTA, Guadalupe Pina and Maria Pina, Francisco Estrada, Maria  
Antonio Aguirre, Desiderio Pina otherwise known as Linfa Pina, Feliciano  
Aguirre, Josefa Aguirre, Sara Aguirre, Cypriano Cornelio Aguirre, Fernina  
Aguirre, Ines Pina, Virginia Pina, Florencia Pascuala Pina and Joaquin  
Eliseo Pina, Juan Miranda, Clara Miranda, Sisto Miranda, Petra Francisca  
Miranda, Jose Dolores, Basobia Yanes and Estevan Solares, Fernando Ortega,  
Catarina Ortega, Victoria Ortega, Juana Ortega, E. Domingo Ortega, Francisca  
V. Ortega, Vicente F. Ortega, Leonardo P. Ortega, Roberto N. Ortega  
and Julio R. Ortega, Augustin Flores, Francisca Flores, Francisco Jose  
Flores, Jose Augustin Flores and Jose Solares, Adolfo Pina Francisco  
Yanes, Guillermo Cardona and Edvigio Cardona, Francisco Vernal, Margarita  
Vernal, Rosa Cerrales, Juan Cota, Nicolas Robles and Oswaldo Carlos Robles,  
Maria Concepcion Carrillo, Jose Ramon Carrillo, Guadalupe Carrillo, Manuela  
Carrillo, Jose Carrillo and Micaela Carrillo, Felix Carrillo, Juan Ripor,  
Alfredo Uviola and Anita Bandina, and Lucius A. Wright as Agent of the  
United States for the Indians of the Mission Tule River Agency in California,  
Defendants**

Upon the pleadings and all the other papers heretofore filed and  
all the proceedings heretofore had in the above-entitled action and in  
particular upon the decision of this Court this day given and filed here-  
in and on motion of Ganfield & Starbuck, Esqs., attorneys herein for the  
above named plaintiff The Roman Catholic Bishop of Monterey, it is hereby

**ADJUDGED** that the band or village of Mission Indians known as Santa Ines  
Indians including as members thereof the above named defendants Salomon  
Cota, Guadalupe Pina, Maria Pina, Francisco Estrada, Maria Antonia Aguirre,  
Desiderio Pina otherwise known as Linfa Pina, Feliciano Aguirre, Josefa  
Aguirre, Sara Aguirre, Cypriano Cornelio Aguirre, Fernina Aguirre Ines  
Pina, Virginia Pina, Florencia Pascuala Pina and Joaquin Eliseo Pina,  
Juan Miranda, Clara Miranda, Sisto Miranda, Petra Francisca Miranda, Jose  
Dolores, Basobia Yanes and Estevan Solares, Fernando Ortega, Catarina  
Ortega, Victoria Ortega, Juana Ortega, E. Domingo Ortega, Francisca V.  
Ortega, Vicente F. Ortega, Leonardo P. Ortega, Roberto N. Ortega,

and Julio R. Ortega, Augustin Flores, Francisco Flores, Francisco Jose Flores, Jose Augustin Flores and Jose Solares, Adolfo Pina, Francisco Yanes, Guillermo Cardona, and Ediviges Cardona, Francisco Vernal, Margarita Vernal, Rosa Corrales, Juan Cota, Oswaldo Carlos Robles, Maria Concepcion Carrillo, Jose Ramon Carrillo, Guadalupe Carrillo, Manuela Carrillo, Jose Carrillo, and Micaela Carrillo, and Felis Carrillo and all and every of them and such and so many of their descendants and of the descendants of all and every and any of them as shall from time to time constitute and form part or the whole of said band or village, but not including the above named defendants Nicolas Robles, Juan Ripper, Alfredo Uvieda and Anita Bandina or any of them and also not including the above named defendant Lucius A. Wright as Agent of the United States for the Indians of the Mission Tule River Agency in California was and were under the Mexican law in California prior and up to the 13th day of May 1846 and still is and are and shall be legitimately entitled jointly and severally, but only as said band or village and as member or members and in right of said band or village to occupy continuously, generally and in common but not otherwise and under and subject to the regulation and control before said 13th of May 1846, of the Mexican Republic and since said date of the United States and without any right of alienation in whole or in part or in respect of any estate or interest therein a certain parcel or tract of land and no more, situate, lying and being in the County of Santa Barbara in the State of California, in a southwesterly direction from the Village of Santa Ynez near the East Bank of the Zanja de Cota or Cota Creek in said County but not bordering on said Zanja de Cota or Cota Creek or bounded thereby or riparian thereto, said parcel or tract of land being a part or portion of the Canada de los Pinos or College Rancho in said County and being bounded and described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a stake on line with fence on south line of Block No. 20 of the survey of the Town Site of Santa Ynez from which the centre of said Zanja de Cota or Cota Creek bears West 26 feet; thence running  $S 15^{\circ} 33' E$  156.3 feet to a 1 1/2 in sq. stake; thence running  $S 39^{\circ} 02' W$  105.0 feet to a 1 1/2 in sq stake; thence running  $S 79^{\circ} 25' W$  57.8 feet to a 1 1/2 in sq stake; thence running  $S 37^{\circ} 49' W$  208.1 feet to a 1 1/2 in sq stake; thence running  $S 54^{\circ} 12' W$  91.9 feet to a 1 1/2 in sq stake; thence running  $S 31^{\circ} 33' W$  165.5 feet to a 1 1/2 in sq stake, from which a letter 'F' marked on a live oak bears  $N 56^{\circ} W$  17 feet; thence running  $S 42^{\circ} 15' W$  303.4 feet to a 1 1/2 in sq stake; thence running  $S 49^{\circ} 35' W$  141.0 feet to a 1 1/2 in sq stake; thence running  $S 27^{\circ} 43' W$  85.8 feet to a 1 1/2 in sq stake; thence running  $S 20^{\circ} 12' E$  156.8 feet to a 1 1/2 in sq stake; thence running  $S 59^{\circ} 39' W$  89.8 feet to a 1 1/2 in sq stake; thence running  $S 18^{\circ} 39' W$  77.0 feet to a 1 1/2 in sq stake; thence running

S 51° 37' W. 182.6 feet to a 1 1/2 in sq stake; thence running  
 S 11° 40' W 171.7 feet to a 1 1/2 in sq. stake; thence running S  
 74° 30' W. 67.5 feet to a 1 1/2 in stake; thence running S 4° 58'  
 E. 124.3 feet to a 1 1/2 in sq stake; thence running N 82° 20'  
 W. 71.9 feet to a 1 1/2 in sq stake; thence running S 36° W.  
 109.3 feet to a 1 1/2 in sq stake; thence running S 46° W  
 73.9 feet to a 1 1/2 in sq stake; from which a letter 'F'  
 marked on a Pear Tree bears S 61° 30' E 4 feet; thence running  
 S 16° W 159.3 feet to a 1 1/2 in sq stake; thence running S  
 41° 17' W. 109.2 feet to a 1 1/2 in sq. stake; thence running  
 S 12° 44' W. 85.4 feet to a 1 1/2 in sq stake; thence running  
 S 35° 01' W. 127.2 feet to a 1 1/2 in sq stake; thence running  
 S 18° 11' W. 115.0 feet to a 1 1/2 in sq stake; thence running  
 S 4° 46' W. 155.5 feet to a 1 1/2 in sq stake; thence running  
 S 30° 04' E 192.4 feet to a 1 1/2 in sq stake; thence running  
 S 36° 54' W 216.6 feet to 1 1/2 in sq stake; thence running S  
 21° 34' W. 196.5 feet to a 1 1/2 in sq stake; thence running  
 S 49° 21' W. 123.6 feet to a 1 1/2 in sq stake from which a  
 letter 'H' marked on a White Oak bears N 66° W 9.5 feet; thence  
 running S 75° 27' W 105.0 to a 1 1/2 in sq stake; thence  
 running S 89° 02' W. 115.2 feet to a 1 1/2 in sq stake; thence  
 running S 33° 07' W. 91.6 feet to a 1 1/2 in sq stake; thence  
 running S 15° 43' W 174.8 feet to a 1 1/2 in sq stake; thence  
 running N 76° 06' E. 116.4 feet to a 1 1/2 in sq stake; thence  
 running S 31° 25' E. 194.6 feet to a 1 1/2 in sq stake; thence  
 running S 12° 59' E. 182.0 feet from which a letter 'F' marked  
 on a live oak bears S 20° 50' W. 61.4 feet; thence running S  
 10° 36' W. 150.5 feet to a 1 1/2 in sq stake; thence running  
 S 59° 45' W 193.0 feet to a 1 1/2 in sq stake; thence running  
 S 80° 40' W 80.6 feet to a 1 1/2 in sq stake; thence running S  
 36° 33' W. 56.5 feet to a 1 1/2 in sq stake; thence running  
 S 1° 52' E 204.8 feet to a 1 1/2 in sq stake; thence running S  
 31° 17' E 234.0 feet to a 1 1/2 in sq stake; thence running S  
 22° 47' E 71.8 feet to a 1 1/2 in sq stake; thence running S  
 15° 30' W 123.7 feet to a 1 1/2 in sq stake; thence running  
 S 30° 36' W 97.4 feet to a 1 1/2 in sq stake; thence running  
 S 43° 13' W 95.0 feet to a 1 1/2 in sq stake; thence running  
 S 2° 52' E 170.0 feet to a 1 1/2 in sq stake; thence running  
 S 10° 52' E 75.9 feet to a 1 1/2 in sq stake; thence running  
 S 6° 20' W 66.7 feet to a 1 1/2 in sq stake; thence running S  
 28° 36' E 440.4 feet to a 2 in sq stake; thence running S 6°  
 58' E 104.8 feet to a 2 in sq stake; thence running S 17° 14'  
 W 122.0 feet to a 2° sq stake; thence running S 36° 51' W  
 113.4 feet to a 2 in sq stake; thence running S 10° 07' W  
 206.2 feet to a 2 in sq stake from which a letter 'F' marked  
 on a Willow Tree bears N 30° 30' E 9.3 feet; thence running S  
 38° 37' W 158.4 feet to a 2 in sq stake; thence running S  
 16° 49' W 102.0 to a 2 in sq stake; thence running S 11° 40'  
 E 220.5 feet to a 2 in sq stake; thence running S 2° 54'  
 E 93.8 feet to a 2 in sq stake; thence running S 33° 20' E

289.6 feet to a 2 in sq stake from which the letter 'F' on Willow tree bears N 12° 15' E 7.9 feet and the centre of Sanja de Cota Creek bears S 59° 08' W 24 feet; thence running N 59° 08' E 491.0 feet to a 2 in sq stake; thence running N 14° 22' W 307.5 feet to a 2 in sq stake from which a letter 'F' on a White Oak bears N 35° 45' W 43.6 feet; thence running N 11° 48' W 512.0 feet to a 1 1/2 in sq. stake; thence running N 8° 02' E 442.5 feet to a 1 1/2 in sq stake from which a letter 'F' on a white oak bears N 17° 15' E 73.5 feet; thence running N 10° 43' E 648.6 feet to a 2 in sq stake from which a letter 'F' marked on a telephone pole bears S 75° W 1 feet; thence running N 0° 25' W 337.9 feet to a 1 1/2 in stake; thence running N 1° 27' W 427.4 feet to a 1 1/2 in sq stake from which a small wire nail in foot of a white oak 1.5 feet above the ground on east side bears S 1° 35' E 3.4 feet; thence running N 0° 20' E 274.8 feet to a 3 in sq stake; thence running N 1° 33' E 404.3 feet to a 1 1/2 in sq stake from which a letter 'F' marked on a white oak bears N 82° 45' W 3.6 feet; thence running N 30° 16' E 155.0 feet to a 2 in sq stake; thence running N 74° 26' E 131.5 to a 1 1/2 in sq stake from which a letter 'F' marked on a white oak bears N 29° 45' E 4.3 feet; thence running N 12° 10' E 248.0 feet to a 1 1/2 in sq stake from which a letter 'F' marked on a white oak bears N 51° W 8.1 feet; thence running N 22° 40' E 147.6 feet to a 2 in sq stake; thence running N 25° 10' E 295.0 feet to a 4 in sq stake; thence running N 14° 31' E 216.9 feet to a 3 in sq stake; thence running N 35° 02' E 260.2 feet to a 3 in sq stake; thence running N 63° 14' E 192.8 feet to a 2 in sq stake; thence running N 57° 11' E 191.6 feet to a 2 in sq stake, from which a letter 'F' marked on a live oak bears N 31° 30' W 1.6 feet; thence running N 37° 30' E 209.5 feet to a 1 1/2 in sq stake; thence running N 15° 22' E 355.1 feet to a 1 1/2 in sq stake, from which a letter 'F' marked on a telephone pole bears N 15° W 4 feet; thence running N 21 59' E 272.8 feet to a 2 in sq stake; thence running N 23° 35' E 86.7 feet to a 2 in sq stake, from which a letter 'F' marked on a white oak bears S 46° 45' E 43.2 feet; thence running N 30° 29' E 253.5 feet to a 2 in sq stake, from which a letter 'F' marked on a small live oak bears N 68° W 1.5 feet; thence running N 46° 49' E 107.3 feet to a 1/2 in sq stake; thence running N 11° 10' E 236.3 feet to a 2 in sq stake; from which a letter 'F' marked on a Willow tree bears S 19° 30' W 47.6 feet; thence running West 769.9 feet to the point of beginning. Containing 75.75 acres of land and being the premises delineated and shown upon a Map thereof entitled "Map of the land East of the Sanja de Cota or Cota Creek near the Village of Santa Ynez, in the County of Santa Barbara, State of California. Subject to the occupancy of

5

the band or Village of Mission Indians known as the Santa Ynez Indians, Surveyed by Frank F. Flournoy Co. Sur. June 1899<sup>th</sup> and filed in the office of the recorder of said County August 10, 1899, subject however to the right, easement and servitude of said plaintiff The Roman Catholic Bishop of Monterey to cross the same with pipes, flumes ditches or other conduits as hereinafter adjudged.

And it is further adjudged that said band or village of Mission Indians known as Santa Ynez Indians including as members thereof said defendants,

Salomon Gota, Guadalupe Pina and Maria Pina, Francisca Estroda, Maria Antonia Aguirre, Desiderio Pina otherwise known as Linfan Pina, Feliciano Aguirre, Josefa Aguirre, Sara Aguirre, Gypriano Cornelio Aguirre, Fernina Aguirre, Ines Pina, Virginia Pina, Florencia Pascuala Pina and Joaquin Nicaso Pina, Juan Miranda, Clara Miranda, Sisto Miranda, Petra Francisca Miranda, Jose Solares, Eusebia Yanes and Estevan Solares, Fernando Ortega, Catarina Ortega, Victoria Ortega, Juana Ortega, E. Domingo Ortega, Francisca V. Ortega, Vicente F. Ortega, Leonardo P. Ortega, Roberto N. Ortega and Julio R. Ortega, Augustin Flores, Francisca Flores, Francisca Jose Flores, Jose Augustine Flores and Jose Solares, Adolfo Pina, Francisca Yanes, Guillermo Cardena, Mervigues Cardena, Francisca Vernal, Rosa Carraloe, Juan Gota, Oswaldo Carlos Robles, Maria Concepcion Carrillo, Jose Ramon Carrillo, Guadalupe Carrillo, Manuela Carrillo Jose Carrillo and Nicuala Carrillo and Felis Carrillo and all and every of them and each and so many of their descendants and of the descendants of all and every and any of them as shall from time to time constitute and form part or the whole of said band or village, but not including the above named defendants Nicolas Robles, Juan Ripor, Alfredo Uvieda and Anita Bandina or any of them and also not including the above named defendant Lucius A. Wright as Agent of the United States for the Indians of the Mission Salo River Agency in California was and were under the Mexican law in California prior and up to the 13th day of May 1846 and still is and are and shall be legitimately entitled jointly and severally, but only as said band or village and as members or member and in right of said band or village, to take from said Ranja de Gota or Gota Creek by ditches, flumes or pipes at points along and in the course thereof above said parcel or tract of land or opposite thereto or both above the same and opposite thereto and not including but in addition to the amount mentioned and secured to a part of said defendants and to their descendants in and by a certain grant in trust to the Secretary of the Interior of the United States bearing date on the 27th day of Feb., 1903, made by the Santa Ynez Land and Improvement

Company and recorded in the Office of the recorder of said County of Santa Barbara on the 9th day of June, 1903, in Book 92, page 118 of Deeds, and to have and to use on said parcel or tract of land but not elsewhere generally and in common and as appurtenant thereto and to the said continuous general and common occupancy thereof by said band or village but not otherwise and under and subject to the regulation and control before said 13th day of May 1846, of the Mexican Republic and since said date of the United States and without any right of alienation in whole or in part or in respect of any estate or interest therein, so much and only so much of the waters of said Zanja de Gota or Gota Creek as by the members of said band or village so occupying such parcel or tract of land continuously, generally and in common was from time to time, is now and may from time to time be needed for domestic use and for watering of stock and for purposes of irrigation of said parcel or tract of land, but for no other purpose.

And it is further ADJUDGED that said regulation and control by the United States of said occupancy continuously, generally and in common by said band or village of Santa Ynez Indians of said parcel or tract of land and of said user generally and in common thereon of said portion of the waters of said Zanja de Gota or Gota Creek includes and extends to the allotment of portions of said parcel or tract of land and of said water as appurtenant thereto to individual members of said band or village to occupy and use the same respectively for their individual benefit, profit and advantage during the pleasure and at the sufferance of the United States but without vesting in said individual members or conferring upon them or any of them any additional right in or to said land or water so allotted or any part thereof or any right whatever therein or thereto except as members and in the general and common right of said band or village as aforesaid.

And it is hereby further ADJUDGED that said plaintiff The Roman Catholic Bishop of Monterey is entitled and has the right, easement and servitude in fee simple absolute and against, over and superior to said right of occupancy to cross said parcel or tract of land with a reasonable number of pipes, flumes, ditches or other conduits, not exceeding three reasonably located or with the consent of the then occupant of the land to be crossed by the same relocated and to connect the same with said Zanja de Gota or Gota Creek and to keep the same connected therewith by such changes in the point or points of connection of the same there with as may be rendered necessary by any change or changes in the bed or channel thereof and to maintain and use the said pipes, flumes, ditches or other conduits as so located or relocated for the purpose of conveying the remainder of the waters of said Zanja de Gota or Gota Creek to other portions of said Canada de los Pinos or College Rancho or elsewhere and to enter freely upon said parcel or tract of land for the



purpose of laying said pipes and constructing said flumes, ditches and other conduits and of repairing and otherwise maintaining the same.

And it is hereby further ADJUDGED that subject only to said inalienable right of occupancy continuously, generally and in common by said band or village of Santa Ynez Indians of said parcel or tract of land and to said inalienable right of user generally and in common thereon by said band or village of said portion of the waters of said Sanja de Cota or Cota Creek, said plaintiff The Roman Catholic Bishop of Monterey is entitled in fee simple absolute to two certain parcels of land situate, lying and being in the County of Santa Barbara, in the State of California, and is the owner of both and each and every part of the said two certain parcels of land in fee simple absolute and against said band or village of Santa Ynez Indians and against said defendants,

Salomon Cota, Guadalupe Pina, and Maria Pina, Francisco Estrada Maria Antonia Aguirre, Desiderio Pina otherwise known as Linfan Pina, Felicitas Aguirre, Josefa Aguirre, Sara Aguirre, Cypriano Cornelio Aguirre, Fermina Aguirre, Ines Pina, Florencia Pascuala Pina, and Joaquin Eliseo Pina, Juan Miranda, Clara Miranda, Sisto Miranda, Petra Francisca Miranda, Jose Solares, Basobia Yanes and Estevan Solares, Fernando Ortega, Caterina Ortega, Victoria Ortega, Juana Ortega, E. Domingo Ortega, Francisca V. Ortega, Vicente F. Ortega, Leonardo P. Ortega, Roberto N. Ortega and Julio R. Ortega, Augustin Flores, Francisco Flores, Francisco Jose Flores, Jose Augustin Flores, Jose Solares, Adolfo Pina, Francisco Yanes, Guillermo Cardona, and Mervigeo Cardona, Francisco Vernal, Rosa Corrales, Juan Cota, Oswaldo Carlos Robles, Maria Concepcion Carrillo, Jose Ramon Carrillo, Guadalupe Carrillo, Mamuela Carrillo, Jose Carrillo, and Micaela Carrillo and Felix Carrillo, Nicolas Robles, Juan Riper, Alfredo Uvieda and Anita Bandina

and all and every of them both individually and as constituting in part or in whole said band or village or any part thereof and against all and any of their descendants constituting or forming part or the whole of said band or village and against said defendant Lucius A. Wright as Agent of the United States for the Indians of the Mission Tule River Agency in California and against all and every persons and person claiming the same or any estate, right, title or interest therein or thereto or in or to any part thereof under or by virtue of the stipulation embodied in the terms of a certain patent of the United States of America bearing date on the 28th day of Feb., 1861, and recorded in the office of the Recorder of said County of Santa Barbara in Book 'A' of Patents at pages 45 to 50 on the 29th day of Sept. 1869 that said patent should not affect the interests of third persons or as excepted or reserved by a certain decree of confirmation in said patent mentioned, said decree of confirmation having been and being therein stated to have been rendered on

the 18th day of December 1855, by the Board of Land Commissioners of the United States appointed to ascertain and settle the private land claims in the State of California, under and pursuant to the provisions of the Act of Congress approved on the 3rd day of March 1851, entitled "An Act to ascertain and settle the private land claims in the State of California," said two parcels of land being respectively bounded and described as follows, to-wit:

A. Beginning on the northerly bank of the Santa Ines River, at a point in the middle of the channel of the creek called Canja de Cota or Cota Creek, where it flowed into the Santa Ines River in the winter of the years 1850-51 and running thence along the centre line of said Cota Creek up-stream according to its meanders about 3 miles to a stake 6 inches square showing about 2 feet above the ground and marked with the Roman numeral I, thence due East 120 chains to a similar stake marked with the Roman numeral II, thence due North 40 chains to the southeast-erly corner of a square section one mile square in extent design-ated as a proposed town site and lying near the center of a certain tract of land known as the Canada de los Pinos or College Rancho, thence due West 40 chains along the southerly side of said proposed Town Site to the center of said southerly side thence due north along a line drawn through the center of the said Town Site 40 chains to said center of said Town Site, thence due east along another line drawn through the centre of said Town Site 40 chains to another and similar stake marked with the Roman numeral III standing in the centre of the easterly line of said town site, thence continuing in the same course due East 50 chains more to another and similar stake marked with the Roman numeral IIII, thence due North 50 chains to another and similar stake marked with the Roman Numeral V, thence due East 50 chains to another and similar stake marked with the Roman Numeral VI thence continuing the same course due east 50 chains farther to a corner, thence due south four miles and over to the middle of the Santa Ines River, thence following the meanders of said river through the center line thereof down stream about five and three-quarters miles to a point directly opposite the point of beginning and thence directly to the point of beginning.

B. And also the northwesterly quarter of said proposed town site, beginning at the corner formed by the intersection of the 5th and 6th of the above given courses of the parcel of land last above described, that is to say, at the center of said section so set apart for a proposed Town Site as aforesaid and running thence due West 40 chains to the westerly line thereof; thence due north 40 chains to the north west corner thereof; thence due east 40 chains; thence due South 40 chains, to the last named point of commencement.

The first of said two parcels last above described including and containing said parcel or tract of land first above described and said two parcels last above described taken together containing in all 11,500 acres of land and being parts or portion of said *Canada de los Pinos* or *College Rancho* set off and conveyed to said plaintiff by The Roman Catholic Arch-bishop of San Francisco both individually and as a corporation Sole by deed bearing date on the 8th day of Feb. 1882 and recorded in the office of the recorder of said County of Santa Barbara in Book 'K' of Deeds at pages 96 to 100, March 27, 1882.

And it is hereby further ADJUDGED that subject to said inalienable right of user generally and in common by said band or village of said portion of the water of said *Zanja de Cota* or *Cota Creek*, said plaintiff The Roman Catholic Bishop of Monterey is entitled in fee simple absolute to the waters of said *Zanja de Cota* or *Cota Creek* except the amount thereof so mentioned in said grant in trust to the Secretary of the Interior of the United States as aforesaid and is the owner of said waters and of the whole thereof except as aforesaid in fee simple absolute and against said band or village of Santa Ines Indians and against said defendants.

Salomon Cota, Guadalupe Pina, and Maria Pina, Francisco Estrada Maria Antonia Aguirre, Desiderio Pina otherwise known as Linfan Pina, Felicitana Aguirre, Josefa Aguirre, Sara Aguirre, Cypriano Cornelio Aguirre, Fernina Aguirre, Ines Pina, Virginia Pina, Florencia Pascuala Pina and Joaquin Eliseo Pina, Juan Miranda, Clara Miranda, Sixto Miranda, Petra Francisca Miranda, Jose Solares, Basobia Yanes, and Estevan Solares, Fernando Ortega, Catarina Ortega, Victoria Ortega, Juana Ortega, E. Domingo Ortega, Francisca V. Ortega, Vicente F. Ortega, Leonardo F. Ortega, Roberto N. Ortega and Julie R. Ortega, Augustin Flores Francisca Flores, Francisco Jose Flores, Jose Augustine Flores and Jose Solares, Adolfo Pina, Francisco Yanes, Guillermo Cardona and Muviges Cardona, Francisco Vernal, Margarita Vernal, Rosa Cerrales, Juan Cota, Nicolas Robles and Osvaldo Carlos Robles, Maria Concepcion Carrillo, Jose Ramon Carrillo, Mameala Carrillo, Jose Carrillo and Mameala Carrillo, Felis Carrillo, Juan Ripoy, Alfredo Uvieda and Anita Sundina

and all and every of them both individually and as constituting in part or in whole said band or village or any part thereof, and Lucius A. Wright as Agent of the United States for the Indians of the Mission Tule River Agency in California and against all and every persons or person claiming the same or any estate, right, title or interest therein or thereto or in or to any part thereof as excepted or reserved by said decree of confirmation or under or by virtue of said stipulation embodied in the terms of said Patent.

And it is hereby further **ADJUDGED** that except said general and common but inalienable right of continuous occupancy by said band or village of said parcel or tract of land first above described and said general and common but inalienable right of user thereon by said band or village of said portion of the waters of said Ranja de Gota or Gota Creek, subject as aforesaid, and such inalienable right or interest as may now or hereafter belong to any member or members of said band or village of Mission Indians known as Santa Ines Indians as his or their right or interest in said right of occupancy and right of user, neither said band or village of Santa Ines Indians nor any of said defendants,

Salomon Gota, Guadalupe Pina, and Maria Pina, Francisco Estrada, Maria Antonia Aguirre, Desiderio Pina otherwise known as Linfan Pina, Felicitas Aguirre, Josefa Aguirre, Sara Aguirre, Cypriano Cornelio Aguirre, Permina Aguirre, Ines Pina, Virginia Pina, Florencia Pascuala Pina and Joaquin Eliseo Pina, Juan Miranda, Clara Miranda, Sisto Miranda, Petra Francisca Miranda, Jose Solares, Euclia Ines and Estevan Solares, Fernando Ortega, Catarina Ortega, Victoria Ortega, Juana Ortega, E. Domingo Ortega, Francisca V. Ortega, Vicente F. Ortega, Leonardo P. Ortega, Roberto N. Ortega and Julio R. Ortega, Augustine Flores, Francisca Flores, Francisco Jose Flores, Jose Augustine Flores and Jose Solares, Adolfo Pina, Francisco Ines, Guillermo Cardona and Edwigeo Cardona, Francisco Vernal, Margarita Vernal, Rosa Corvalles, Juan Gota, Nicolas Robles and Oswaldo Carlos Robles, Maria Concepcion Carrillo, Jose Ramon Carrillo, Manuela Carrillo, Jose Carrillo and Micaela Carrillo, Felis Carrillo, Juan Riper, Alfredo Uviola, Anita Bandina and Guadalupe Carrillo,  
and

Lacius A. Wright as Agent of the United States for the Indians of the Mission Tule River Agency in California nor any present or future individual member or members of said band or village of Santa Ines Indians nor any other or third person or persons has or have any estate, right, title or interest whatsoever in or to said two parcels of land last above described or either of them or any part thereof or of either of them or ~~any part thereof~~ the waters of said Ranja de Gota or Gota Creek or any part thereof under or by virtue of said exception and reservation contained in said decree of confirmation or under or by virtue of said stipulation embodied in the terms of said Patent.

And it is further **ADJUDGED** that except such inalienable right or interest as may now or hereafter belong to any member or members of said band or village of Mission Indians known as Santa Ines Indians as his or their said right or interest in said general and common but inalienable right of continuous occupancy by said band or village of said parcel or tract of land first above described and as his or their said right of interest in said general and common but inalienable right of user thereon by said band or village of said portion of the waters of said Ranja de Gota or

**Cota Creek, subject as aforesaid said band or village of Santa Ines Indians and said defendants,**

**Salomon Cota, Guadalupe Pina and Maria Pina, Francisco Estrada, Maria Antonia Aguirre, Desiderio Pina otherwise known as Linfas Pina, Feliciano Aguirre, Josefa Aguirre, Sara Aguirre, Cypriano Cornelio Aguirre, Fernina Aguirre, Ines Pina, Virginia Pina, Florencia Pascuala Pina and Joaquin Eliseo Pina, Juan Miranda, Clara Miranda, Sisto Miranda, Petra Francisca Miranda, Jose Dolores, Eusebia Yanes and Esteven Solares, Fernando Ortega, Catarina Ortega, Victoria Ortega, Juana Ortega, E. Domingo Ortega, Francisco V. Ortega, Vicente F. Ortega, Leonardo P. Ortega, Roberto M. Ortega and Julio R. Ortega, Augustin Flores, Francisco Flores, Francisco Jose Flores, Jose Augustina Flores and Jose Solares, Adolfo Pina, Francisco Yanes, Guillermo Cardona and Edvigio Cardona, Francisco Vernal, Margarita Vernal, Rosa Gervales, Juan Cota, Nicolas Nobles and Oswaldo Carlos Nobles, Maria Concepcion Carrillo, Jose Ramon Carrillo, Manuela Carrillo, Jose Carrillo and Micaela Carrillo, Felix Carrillo, Juan Ripar, Alfredo Uviada, Anita Raudina and Guadalupe Carrillo, and Lucius A. Wright as Agent of the United States for the Indians of the Mission Tule River Agency in California and all and every of them and all and every of the present and future individual members of the band or village of Mission Indians known as Santa Ines Indians and all and every other person or persons be and the same hereby are perpetually enjoined, restrained and debarred from making or asserting in any way any claim whatever adverse to said plaintiff The Roman Catholic Bishop of Monterey in or to said two secondly above described parcels of land or either of them or in or to any part thereof or of either of them or to or in the waters of said Zanja de Cota or Cota Creek or to or in any part thereof as excepted or reserved by said decree of confirmation or by said stipulation embodied in the terms of said patent and from interfering with said plaintiff The Roman Catholic Bishop of Monterey or its agents or servants or grantees, lessees, licensees or any other person or persons claiming under said plaintiff in reasonable locating or in laying, constructing, maintaining or repairing a reasonable number of pipes, flumes, ditches or other conduits not exceeding three across said parcel or tract of land first above described or in re-locating the same with the consent of the then occupant of the land to be crossed by the same or in connecting the same with said Zanja de Cota or Cota Creek or in keeping the same connected therewith or in maintaining or using the same for conveying elsewhere the remainder of the waters of said Zanja de Cota or Cota Creek and from hindering or preventing said plaintiff from so locating, relocating, laying, constructing, maintaining, using, repairing and connecting the same and entering freely upon said first above described parcel or tract of land for the purpose of so laying, construction, repairing and otherwise maintaining the same.**

It is hereby further ADJUDGED that except as aforesaid neither said band or village of Mission Indians known as Santa Ynez Indians nor any present or future member thereof nor any of said defendants,

Salomon Gota, Guadalupe Pina and Maria Pina, Francisco Estrada, Maria Antonia Aguirre, Desiderio Pina otherwise known as Linfan Pina, Feliciano Aguirre, Josefa Aguirre, Sara Aguirre, Cypriano Cornelio Aguirre, Fernina Aguirre, Ines Pina, Virginia Pina, Florencia Pascuala Pina and Joaquin Eliseo Pina, Juan Miranda, Clara Miranda, Sisto Miranda, Petra Francisca Miranda, Jose Dolores, Macchia Yanes and Estevan Solares, Fernando Ortega, Catarina Ortega, Victoria Ortega, Juana Ortega, E. Domingo Ortega, Francisca V. Ortega, Vicente F. Ortega, Leonardo F. Ortega, Roberto N. Ortega and Julio R. Ortega, Augustin Flores, Francisca Flores, Francisco Jose Flores, Jose Augustin Flores and Jose Salares, Adolfo Pina, Francisco Yanes, Guillermo Gardena, and Merviges Gardena, Francisco Vernal, Margarita Vernal, Rosa Corrales, Juan Gota, Nicolas Robles and Oswaldo Carlos Robles, Maria Concepcion Carrillo, Jose Ramon Carrillo, Mamecia Carrillo, Jose Carrillo and Micaela Carrillo, Felis Carrillo, Juan Ripoy, Alfredo Uviada, Anita Eudina and Guadalupe Carrillo and Lucius A. Wright as Agent of the United States for the Indians of the Mission Tule River Agency in California has or have any estate, right, title or interest whatsoever in or to said two parcels of land last above described or either of them or any part thereof or of either of them or in or to the waters of said Zanja de Gota or Gota Creek or any part thereof and that said band or village of Mission Indians known as Santa Ynez Indians and all the present or future members thereof and said defendants and all and every of them be and hereby are further enjoined, restrained and debarred from making or asserting in any way any claim whatever adverse to said plaintiff in or to said two last above described parcels of land or either of them or in or to any part thereof or of either of them or to or in the waters of said Zanja de Gota or Gota Creek or to or in any part thereof.

Dated, Santa Barbara, California, March 31, 1906.

(Signed) J. W. TAGGART

Judge of Superior Court

Endorsed Filed March 31, 1906

Recorded at request of Canfield & Starbuck, April 2, 1906

Affidavit of G. A. Hunt,  
County Clerk,  
by Deputy Clerk, A. T. Hayes,  
April 2, 1906.

GENEALOGICAL HISTORY

of the

Santa Ynez Indians named as defendants in the action entitled, "The Roman Catholic Bishop of Monterey vs Salomon Cota et al", No. 3936 filed February 12, 1897 in the Superior Court of Santa Barbara County, State of California.

1. Salomon Cota

Has been in Santa Barbara General Hospital.  
Died

2. Guadalupe Piña  
(Wife of Salomon Cota)

Died 1914 - age 80 years  
Her children:  
Desiderio Piña, Santa Ynez  
Adolfo Piña, Santa Barbara  
Piedad Piña, dead  
Alfredo Piña, dead  
Chona Piña, dead

3. Maria Piña

Died 1920 - age 43 years  
Her children  
Myrtice Piña, San Francisco  
Mary Piña, Carpinteria  
a. Sara Piña, died 1926 - 33 yrs  
Her children:  
Madeline Backer, Ventura  
Margaret Backer, unknown

4. Francisco Estrada

On Reservation - age 65 years  
His children:  
a. Blanche Romero - died 1933  
Her children:  
Ramon Romero, on Reservation  
age 23 years.  
b. Adelia Romero - died 1935  
age 21 years  
Her children:  
Baby girl, Santa Ynez.

5. Antonia Aguirre

Died 1922 - age 60 years  
Her children:  
Maria Aguirre, dead  
Feliciana " , dead  
Josefa Aguirre, Santa Barbara

- Ines Piña, dead  
 Virginia Piña, Santa Barbara  
 Florencia Pascuala Piña,  
     Santa Ynez  
 Joaquin Piña, Santa Barbara  
 Cypriano Cornelio Piña,  
     Santa Ynez  
 Juan Piña, Santa Ynez
6. Desiderio Piña, also knas  
 Linfan Piña.  
 Husband of Antonia Aguirre.      In Santa Ynez - age 65 years
7. Feliciano Aguirre  
 Daughter of No.5      Died 1934 at Los Angeles  
     Her children:  
     Henry Olivas, Los Angeles  
     Edward       "       "  
     Jennie       "       Santa Barbara  
 a. Fernina Espinosa, Los Angeles  
     Her children:  
     Has six children, names  
     etc. unknown  
 b. Vicente Tomkins, Santa Barbara  
     Her children:  
     Melvine Hammil  
     Dorothy Hammil
8. Josefa Aguirre      In Santa Barbara - age 60 years  
     Her children:  
     Johnny Shumaker, Santa Barbara  
 a. Isabel Lopez, Santa Barbara  
     Her children:  
     Arthur Lopez  
     Gladys Lopez
9. Sara Piña  
 Daughter of No.3      Died 1926 - age 33 years  
     Her children:  
     See (a) under No.3
10. Cypriano Cornelio Piña  
 Son of No.5      In Santa Ynez - age 38 years
11. Ines Piña  
 Daughter of No.5      Died 1934 - age 46 years  
     Her children:  
     Robert Piña, age 27 years on  
     Reservation  
     Juanita Piña, age 21 years at  
     Carpinteria  
     Margaret Piña, age 18 years at  
     Los Angeles  
     Louise Piña, age 16 years at  
     Santa Barbara



Ema Figueroa, age 12 years at  
Santa Barbara  
Isabel Figueroa, age 11 years at  
Santa Barbara  
Guadalupe Figueroa, age 9 years  
at Santa Barbara  
a. Rosa Piña, age 29 years, at Santa  
Barbara

Her children:  
Madaline Pace  
Jimmy Pace  
Betty Pace  
Margaret Pace

12. Virginia Piña  
Daughter of No. 5

at Santa Barbara - age 46 years  
Her children:  
a. Fred Romero Jr., age 28 years  
at Santa Barbara  
Julie Romero, age 23 years, died  
1932  
Mary Romero, age 23 years at  
Santa Barbara  
b. Amanda Romero, age 21 years at  
Santa Barbara

Her children:  
Baby Girl, age and name unknown  
Cecilia Romero, age 18 years at  
Santa Barbara  
Toney Romero, age 13 years at  
Santa Barbara

Note.

Fred Romero Jr. (a) has two  
small children.

13. Florencia Pascuala Piña  
Daughter of No. 5

at Santa Ynez - age 43 years  
Her children:  
Mary Armenta, age 19 years at  
Santa Ynez  
Leande Armenta, age 16 years at  
Santa Ynez  
Catarina Armenta, age 15 years at  
Santa Ynez  
Nether Armenta, age 12 years at  
Santa Ynez  
Eve Armenta, age 12 years at  
Santa Ynez

Antonia Armenta, age 10 years at  
Santa Ynez  
George Armenta, age 7 years at  
Santa Ynez  
Manuel Armenta, age 5 years at  
Santa Ynez  
a. Sulalia Armenta, age 22 years at  
Santa Ynez

Her children:

A baby girl

b. Celestine Armenta, age 24 years at  
Santa Ynez

Her children:

Fidelia Valdez, age 6 years, at  
Santa Ynez

William Valdez, age 5 years at  
Santa Ynez

Carmelita Valdez, age 4 years at  
Santa Ynez

14. Joaquin Eliseo Piña  
Son of No. 5

At Santa Barbara - age 41 years

15. Juan Miranda

Died 1921

16. Clara Miranda

On Reservation - age 65 years  
(died )

Her children:

Sisto Miranda, unknown

Petra Francisca Miranda, dead

William E. Miranda, age 31 years  
Reservation

a. Petra Francisca Miranda, dead

Her children:

b. Isabel Kahn, age 25 years at  
Santa Ynez

Her children:

Helen Gonzales, age 7 years at  
Santa Ynez

Arnulfo " , age 6 years at  
Santa Ynez

Consuelo " , age 4 years at  
Santa Ynez

Hamon " , age 3 years at  
Santa Ynez

c. Jessie Kahn, age 22 years on  
Reservation



29. Leonarde F. Ortega	Las Crices
30. Roberto H. Ortega	Unknown
31. Julio B. Ortega	Unknown
32. Augustine Flores	On Reservation, age 48 years
33. Francisco Flores	At Santa Ynez, age 55 years
34. Jose Solares	On Reservation, age 70 years Note. This name confused with Nos. 32 and 33, because there are no names known as Francisco Jose Flores or Jose Augustine Flores.
35. Adolfo Fina	At Santa Barbara, age 64 years His children: Adolfo Fina Jr., age 27 years Santa Barbara Daniel Fina, age 28 years at Santa Barbara
36. Francisco Yanes	Died 1932
37. Guillermo Cardona	Died 1931
38. Edvigeo Cardona Mother of No. 37	Dead
39. Francisco Bernal	Dead
40. Rosa Cerrales	Died 1915 Her children: a. Blanche Romero, dead Her children: Ramon Romero, age 23 years on Reservation b. Adelide Romero, dead Her children: (see list under No. 4) c. Juanita Lopez Ortega, age 37 yrs on Reservation Her children: Domingo Ortega, age 7, Res. Rosa " , age 6 " Charlie " , age 5 " Beatrice " , age 3 "

41. Juan Cota	On Reservation, age 64 years (died )
42. Oswaldo Carlos Robles	On Reservation, age 37 years
43. Maria Concepcion Carrillo	Dead Her children: s. Lucretia Carrillo, unknown Her children: Baby girl Jose Ramon Carrillo, dead Guadalupe Carrillo, Dead Manuela Carrillo, Dead Jose Carrillo, dead Micaela Carrillo, unknown
44. Jose Ramon Carrillo Son of No. 43	Dead His children: Carlota Carrillo, Watsonville Encarnacion Carrillo, " Four other, ages and whereabouts unknown.
45. Guadalupe Carrillo	Dead
46. Manuela Carrillo	Dead
47. Jose Carrillo	Dead
48. Micaela Carrillo	Unknown
49. Felis Carrillo Son of No. 20	Dead

**Note.**

From hearings to ascertain the above information, it was learned that the Ortega's and Carrillo's resent being classed as Indians.

It has been most difficult to follow the blood lines of the various members of the Santa Ynez band, in that they have changed their names from Indian to Spanish.

AGREEMENT

Geo. Montgomery, Bishop of  
Monterey, Corp. Sole, other-  
wise Bishop of Monterey and  
L.A.

With  
Lucius A. Wright - U.S.  
Indian Agent.

Dated July 30, 1898

Recorded Aug. 1, 1898

Vol. 65 Page 3, dds.

Records of Santa  
Barbara County, Calif.

This Agreement made this 30 day of July, 1898, by and between  
The Roman Catholic Bishop of Monterey, a Sole Corporation radicated in  
the City of Los Angeles in the State of California, party of the first  
part and Lucius A. Wright, as Agent of the United States for the Indians  
of the Mission Tule River Agency in California, party of the second part.

Witnesseth:

That Whereas, an action numbered 3926 is now pending in the Superior  
Court of the County of Santa Barbara in the State of California, wherein  
said party of the first part is plaintiff and said party of the second  
part and others are defendants; and

Whereas, the object of said action is, among other things, to deter-  
mine, settle and establish the rights of the parties thereto to a part  
of portion of the Canada de los Pinos or College Rancho in said County  
of Santa Barbara.

Now, therefore, this agreement witnesseth:-

That the said parties of the first and second parts hereto, each  
for and in consideration of the agreement of the other as hereinafter  
set forth, have agreed together as follows:

1st: That the said party of the first part is to convey by a good  
and sufficient grant to the Secretary of the Interior of the United  
States of America in trust for the Band or village of Mission Indians,  
known as the Santa Ynez Indians, all of the following described parcel  
of land lying, being and situate in the said County of Santa Barbara and  
State of California and bounded and described as follows, to-wit:

Beginning at the Southwesterly corner of a tract of land occu-  
pied by Eduardo de la Cuesta where the Southerly boundary  
of said tract intersects the middle line of Sanja Cota Creek,  
thence running Southerly along the middle line of said Creek  
following the meanderings thereof to the Southwesterly end  
of a fence constructed by said Santa Ynez Indians for the

inclosure of lands occupied or claimed by them; thence easterly and northerly along said fence to the intersection of said fence with the Southerly line of said land of Eduardo de la Cuesta at or near the Southeasterly corner thereof; thence Westerly along said Southerly line of land of de la Cuesta to the place of beginning, said boundary to be more definitely and accurately determined by a survey thereof to be hereinafter made and the description of said land according to metes and bounds established by said survey to be adopted and used as the true description thereof in the judgment determining the boundaries thereof hereinafter mentioned.

2nd; That said band or village of Mission Indians shall have the perpetual right to the use of so much of the water of said Creek, to be taken therefrom at a point or points along and on the course thereof on said land, or above said land, as may be needed by the members of said band or village of Indians so resident on said land as aforesaid, their heirs, successors and assigns, for domestic use, and for the watering of stock, and for the purposes of irrigation, and for any other legitimate purposes, provided said water is used on said land only and a further right to maintain the existing ditches, and to lay and maintain pipes in the place and stead thereof, for the purpose of diverting said water from said Creek at said point or points and distributing the same upon said land where the same may be required or needed by said Indians, their heirs, successors and assigns.

3rd: That said Village or band of Indians shall not have the right to sell, transfer or dispose of any of the waters of said Creek, nor to use the same except upon said land and with said exceptions all of the water of said creek is the property of said party of the first part.

4th: That said right and title of said Indians is subject to a right on the part of said party of the first part, and the said party of the first part has, and shall have, the right to dig and maintain ditches, pipes, flumes or other conduits not exceeding three, across said land, for the purpose of conveying the surplus waters of said Creek to other portions of said Rancho or elsewhere, provided said ditches be so located as not to interfere with any buildings or other permanent improvement on said land and, provided further, that when any ditch is once located, said location shall not be changed without the consent of the occupant of the portion of said land traversed by said ditch, except insofar as a change in the location of the head of such ditch or of the connection thereof with said creek may be necessitated by a change or changes in the bed or channel of said Creek.

5th: That judgment may be given, rendered and entered in said action determining, setting and establishing the rights of said parties to said land and the water and ditch rights as above set forth.

6th: That immediately upon and after the entry of said judgment said party of the first part shall make, execute and deliver to the Secretary of the Interior of the U. S. in trust for said Indians, a good and sufficient deed of conveyance of all the right, title and interest of said party of the first part in said land so bounded as aforesaid and of said water rights, subject to said ditch rights as aforesaid.

7th: That this agreement shall become effectual only upon the approval of the same by said Secretary of the Interior.

Geo. Montgomery, Corporation Sole  
otherwise Bishop of Monterey and  
Los Angeles

Signed and delivered in  
presence of  
Z. Montgomery  
C. F. Nesler  
W. E. Arthur

Lucius A. Wright  
U. S. Indian Agent

Acknowledgment of Lucius A. Wright, U. S. Indian Agent,  
Jno. B. Bushness, Notary Public, County of Los Angeles,  
July 30, 1898

Acknowledgment of Geo. Montgomery, etc.  
Jno B. Bushness, Notary Public, County of Los Angeles,  
July 30, 1898

No Acceptance by Secretary of Interior