1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California SARA J. DRAKE Senior Assistant Attorney General JENNIFER T. HENDERSON Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 206231 1300 I Street, Suite 125 Sacramento, CA 95814 P.O. Box 944255 Sacramento, CA 94244-2550 Telephone: (916) 324-5366 Fax: (916) 322-5609 E-mail: Jennifer.Henderson@doj.ca.gov Attorneys for Appellant State of California.					
9	INTERIOR BOARD OF INDIAN APPEALS					
10						
11						
12	IN THE MATTER OF THE JANUARY 4, 2011, DECISION TO TAKE CERTAIN					
13 14	LAND IN TULARE COUNTY, CALIFORNIA INTO TRUST STATE OF CALIFORNIA'S NOTICE OF APPEAL TO THE INTERIOR BOARD OF INDIAN APPEALS					
14						
16						
17	NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the State of California hereby appeals the January 4,					
18	2011 ¹ Notice of Decision of the Regional Director, Pacific Regional Office of the Department of					
19	the Interior, Bureau of Indian Affairs (BIA), giving notice of the BIA's intent to accept					
20	approximately 40 acres of land in Tulare County, California into trust for the Tule River Indian					
21	Tribe of the Tule River Reservation, California (Tribe) pursuant to the Indian Land Consolidation					
22	Act of 1983, 25 U.S.C. § 2202. This appeal is filed pursuant to 43 C.F.R. §§ 4.320-4.340 and 25					
23	C.F.R. § 2.20. The decision being appealed is attached as Exhibit A.					
24	I. OFFICIAL WHOSE DECISION IS BEING APPEALED.					
25	Amy L. Dutschke, Regional Director of the BIA Pacific Regional Office, located at 2800					
26	Cottage Way, Sacramento, California, 95825.					
27	¹ The Nation of Decision was incompative stemped with the data January 4, 2010					
28	¹ The Notice of Decision was incorrectly stamped with the date January 4, 2010.					
	1 State of California's Nation of Annual to the Interior Danud of Indian Annual					
	State of California's Notice of Appeal to the Interior Board of Indian Appeals					

1

II. FULL IDENTIFICATION OF THE CASE.

1	II. FOLLIDEATHTCATION OF THE CASE.	1				
2	On January 4, 2011, the Regional Director of the BIA Pacific Regional Office mailed a					
3	Notice of Decision to the interested parties regarding the BIA's decision to accept into trust for					
4	the Tribe that real property located in Tulare County, California described as "Parcels No's [sic] 1					
5	through 17 inclusive, of Parcel Map No. 4343, in the City of Porterville, County Tulare, State of					
6	California, according to the map thereof recorded in Book 44, Page 47 of Parcel Map" and					
7	7 identified as Assessor's parcel numbers 302-400-001 through 017, containing 40 acres, more or					
8	less (Property). The Property is located approximately twenty miles mile west of the Tribe's					
9	reservation. The State of California, Office of the Governor received the BIA's decision on					
10	January 6, 2011.					
11	III. APPELLANT.					
12	Appellant the State of California is represented by Jennifer T. Henderson, Deputy Attorney					
13	General, 1300 I Street, Suite 125, Sacramento, California, 95814, telephone (916) 324-5366,					
14	nile (916) 327-2319, e-mail: Jennifer.Henderson@doj.ca.gov.					
15	V. REASONS FOR APPEAL.					
16	The State of California believes the decision is incorrect for the following reasons ² :					
17	A) Although the land was being acquired for business purposes, the Tribe did not					
18	provide any information regarding future use of the land and did not provide a plan as					
19	required by 25 C.F.R § 151.11 (c);					
20	B) The Tribe's application did not provide information that was adequate to allow					
21	the Secretary to determine pursuant to 25 U.S.C. § 465 that the land was necessary to					
22	facilitate Tribal self-determination, economic development, or Indian Housing;					
23	C) Although the subject land is approximately 20 miles away from the Tribe's					
24	reservation and is located within the City of Porterville city limits, more weight was not					
25	given to the impact of the removal of the land from the tax roles;					
26						
27	² The State of California reserves the right to supplement or amend the listed reasons for appeal. In addition to this Notice of Appeal, the State's formal "Statement of Reasons" shall be					
28	filed thirty (30) days after the Notice of Appeal in accordance with 25 C.F.R. § 2.10.					
1						

2

1D) There was not sufficient information provided to allo2determine that the establishment of a tribal sovereign enclave with3city limits would not result in jurisdictional conflicts;4E) The draft environmental assessment did not include a	thin the City of Porterville
 city limits would not result in jurisdictional conflicts; E) The draft environmental assessment did not include a 	
4 E) The draft environmental assessment did not include a	any specific project
	any specific project
5 description, and as a result it was not possible to evaluate the pote	ential impacts to the
6 environment after the land was conveyed into trust;	
7 F) The draft environmental assessment was not sufficient	nt to allow for the issuance
8 of a Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI) because it did not	t include any specific
9 project description, and did not evaluate the potential impacts to t	the environment after the
10 land was conveyed into trust;	
11 G) The economic benefits claimed by the Tribe in the FO	ONSI were based on
12 future commercial development and therefore inconsistent with th	he draft environmental
13 assessment which stated that the proposed conveyance would not	result in any change in
14 the present use of the land;	
15 H) The draft environmental assessment did not contain e	enough information to
16 allow the Secretary to comply with his obligation under the Natio	onal Environmental Policy
17 Act (NEPA) to consider all reasonably foreseeable uses to which	the land could be put.
18 V. RELIEF SOUGHT.	
19 The State of California seeks the following relief:	
A) rescission of the notice of decision; and	
21B)preparation of a full Environmental Impact Statement	t that adequately describes
22 the Tribe's proposed development plans for the land, analyzes all	of the direct, indirect and
23 cumulative impacts of those plans, examines a reasonable range of	of alternatives, and
24 proposes adequate mitigation measures to offset the significant in	npacts identified.
25	
26	
27	
28	
3 State of California's Notice of Appeal to the In	

the official whose decision is being appealed, and the Assistant Secretary – Indian Affairs as required by 43 C.F.R. § 4.333(a), as set forth in the attached Declaration of Service. Dated: February 4, 2011 Respectfully Submitted, KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California SARA J. DRAKE Senior Assistant Attorney General Attorney General Att	 The State of California has served this Notice of Appeal upon all known interested p the official whose decision is being appealed, and the Assistant Secretary – Indian Affairs 					
Dated: February 4, 2011 Respectfully Submitted, KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California SARA J. DRAKE Senior Assistant Attorney General JENNIFER T. HENDERSON Deputy Attorney General Attorneys for Appellant						
KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California SARA J. DRAKE Senior Assistant Attorney General JENNIFER T. HENDERSON Deputy Attorney General Attorneys for Appellant	required by 43 C.F.R. § 4.333(a), a	s set forth in the	e attached Declaration of Se	ervice.		
Attorney General of California SARA J. DRAKE Senior Assistant Attorney General JENNIFER T. HENDERSON Deputy Attorney General Attorneys for Appellant	Dated: February 4, 2011		Respectfully Submitted,	· ·		
Deputy Attorney General Attorneys for Appellant			Attorney General of Calif SARA J. DRAKE			
Deputy Attorney General Attorneys for Appellant			That	\rightarrow		
State of California.			Deputy Attorney General Attorneys for Appellant			
			State of California.			
		3				
			а. 			
		2 .				

^