1 2 3 4 5 6 7	SHEPPARD, MULLIN, RICHTER & HAMPTON LLP A Limited Liability Partnership Including Professional Corporations RICHARD M. FREEMAN, Cal. Bar No. 61178 MATTHEW S. MCCONNELL, Cal. Bar No. 209672 CAROLE M. ROSS, Cal. Bar No. 163048 12275 El Camino Real, Suite 200 San Diego, California 92130-2006 Telephone: 858-720-8900 Facsimile: 858-509-3691 dfreeman@sheppardmullin.com mmconnell@sheppardmullin.com cross@sheppardmullin.com		
8			
9 10	Attorneys for TWENTY-NINE PALMS BAND OF MISSION INDIANS		
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
12	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
13	EASTERN DIVISION – RIVERSIDE COURTHOUSE		
14			
15	TWENTY-NINE PALMS BAND OF MISSION INDIANS, a federally recognized Indian Tribe,	Case No. EDCV 08-1753-VAP (OPx)	
16	• •	SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT	
17	Plaintiff,		
18	V.		
19	ARNOLD SCHWARZENEGGER, , in his official capacity as Governor of the State of California; SELVI		
20	State of California; SELVI STANISLAUS in her official capacity as Executive Officer of the Franchise		
21	as Executive Officer of the Franchise Tax Board,		
22	Defendants.		
23			
24	Plaintiff, Twenty-Nine Palms	s Band of Mission Indians, (hereafter	
25	"Plaintiff" or the "Tribe") alleges:		
26	, <u>-</u>		
27			
28			

SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT

W02-WEST:DF8\400871784.6

action is predicated on the following: 28 U.S.C. § 1291; 28 U.S.C. § 1362; 25

Constitution (Art. I, 8, Clause 3); 28 U.S.C. § 2281 (Washington et al. v.

VI, Clause 2; Goosby v. Osser, 409 US 512, 518 (1973).

U.S.C. § § 2701 et seq. ("IGRA"); the Indian Commerce Clause of the United States

Confederated Tribes of the Colville Indian Reservation et al., 447 US 134; 100 S.

Ct. 2069; 65 L. Ed.2d 10; and the Supremacy Clause of the U.S. Constitution, Art.

omissions giving rise to the claim occurred (and are occurring) in this District.

are located in the County of Riverside, which lies within the jurisdiction of this

including its completion of the meet and confer requirements under the Tribal

Specifically, the Tribe and the Tribe's casino, which is the subject of this Complaint

Plaintiff is and at all times mentioned herein was a federally

The jurisdiction of this Court over the subject matter of this

Venue in the Central District is proper because the events or

The Tribe has exhausted all of its administrative remedies

recognized Indian Tribe based in the State of California.

1.

2.

3.

4.

3

4 5

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

District.

Compact.

18

19 20

21

22

23

THE PARTIES, THE COMPACT AND THE CASINO

24

26

27

28

5. This case centers around the State of California's (the "State's") taxation of income from a casino operated on the reservation of the Tribe in Coachella, California. The central issue is the validity of State personal income tax, or PIT, upon two types of income: (a) income generated by the Tribe from operation of the Casino and distributed to the Tribe's members via to a revenue

1	allocation plan approved by the Bureau of Indian Affairs pursuant to the federal	
2	Indian Gaming Regulatory Act; and (b) compensation paid to members working at	
3	the Casino.	
4		
5	6. The Tribe is an Indian tribe federally recognized tribe by the	
6	Secretary of the Interior of the United States of America.	
7		
8	7. Defendant Selvi Stanislaus executive officer of the Franchise	
9	Tax Board and is sued in her official capacity. The Franchise Tax Board is and at	
10	all times mentioned herein was an agency of the State of California, organized and	
11	existing under and by virtue of the laws of the State, particularly Government Code	
12	Sections 15700-15702. The Franchise Tax Board is empowered to assess and	
13	collect taxes under the personal income tax law of the State of California.	
14		
15	8. Arnold Schwarzenegger is the Governor of the State of	
16	California and is sued in his official capacity as an officer and representative of the	
17	State of California.	
18		
19	9. The State of California is a party to the Tribal Compact, oversees	
20	the Franchise Tax Board, and receives the taxes collected by the Franchise Tax	
21	Board.	
22		
23	10. The members of the Tribe ("Members") are third party	
24	beneficiaries of the Tribal Compact and bear the legal incidence of the tax.	
25		
26	11. The Tribe is quite small and has only twelve Members who are	

over the age of 18 years.

- 12. The Tribe occupies certain real property located in Coachella, California (in Riverside County) and comprising a federal recognized Indian reservation pursuant to 25 U.S.C. § 2703(4). In addition, the Tribe's reservation includes a smaller parcel near Twenty-Nine Palms, California.
- 13. Pursuant to the Indian Gaming Regulatory Act of 1988 (P.L. 100-497, modified at 18 U.S.C. § 1166 et seq. and 25 U.S.C. § 2701 et seq.) (and any successor statute of amendments, hereinafter "IGRA"), the Tribe and the State entered into the Tribal-State Gaming Compact between the Tribe and the State of California regarding Class III Gaming (the "Tribal Compact"). The governor of California signed the Tribal Compact in his official capacity on behalf of the State of California.
- 14. The Tribe's Articles of Association authorizes the Tribal council to manage the Tribal lands. Pursuant to the Articles of Association, Tribal Compact and IGRA, the Tribe operates a Class III gaming casino (the "Casino") on the Tribe's reservation located in Coachella, California. The Tribe operates the Casino through the Tribe's wholly owned federal corporation chartered under the provisions of 25 U.S.C. § 477.
- 15. In accordance with IGRA, the Tribe periodically prepares and submits a revenue allocation plan (the "RAP") to the federal Bureau of Indian Affairs. The RAP is a detailed financial plan for the Casino that is approved and monitored by the Bureau of Indian Affairs. Federal regulations expressly require the RAP to provide for the general welfare of the tribe and its members and to promote tribal economic development. 25 C.F.R. § 290.12(b)(ii), (iii).

16. The RAP dictates and details how the Tribe's net gaming proceeds must be distributed and includes per capita payments to its members. The RAP contains detailed funding requirements and precise financial allocations for the net revenues. The RAP also expressly allocates a specific percentage of the net revenue to the Tribe's general welfare. Of the amount allocated for general welfare, a specific amount must be allocated to housing. In addition, the RAP expressly allocates a specific percentage to promote Tribal economic development. The RAP also provides a mechanism for net revenues to enhance the general welfare of the Tribe and its member through per capita payments for general living expenses.

17. In accordance with IGRA, the RAP provides for per capita distributions to Members of the Tribe and takes into consideration, among other things, the Members' obligations to pay federal income taxes with respect to the per capita payments made under the RAP. The RAP does not take into consideration California PIT, which the State of California seeks to impose on the Members.

18. The RAP is a tribal law and rule.

- 19. The Twenty-Nine Palms Band of Mission Indians Gaming Ordinance, approved by the National Indian Gaming Commission, dictates how net revenues of gaming activity after payment of management fees may be used.
- 20. The Tribe operates its Casino pursuant to the RAP and the Twenty-Nine Palms Band of Mission Indians Gaming Ordinance and in a manner designed to maximize revenues and promote the welfare of the Tribe and its Members. The economic viability of the Casino is the lifeblood of the Tribe and its Members. The overwhelming majority of the Tribe's income comes from the

1		
1	Casino. A number of the Members are employed by the Tribe relative to issues	
2	involving the Casino.	
3		
4	21. There is no housing on the Tribe's reservation, nor has there beer	
5	any housing on the reservation at any of the relevant times herein. It would be	
6	financially, socially and politically very difficult to construct housing on the	
7	reservation. The Tribe's reservation in Coachella is comprised of two portions. The	
8	Casino and parking lot are on one portion. The other portion included in the	
9	reservation in Coachella comprises approximately 92 acres and is located across th	
10	Interstate-15 freeway away from the Casino and near a sanitation plant. The	
11	effluent from the plant flows through a canal next to the 92-acre parcel. As a	
12	practical matter, the reservation cannot be used for homes or the raising of families	
13		
14	22. The smaller reservation parcel near Twenty-Nine Palms is	
15	primarily desert. The land is completely undeveloped, with absolutely no	
16	infrastructure. As a practical matter, the reservation cannot be used for homes or the	
17	raising of families.	
18		
19	23. Because of the inability of the Tribe to provide housing to its	
20	Members on the reservation, the Members have been forced to live off the	
21	reservation. The Tribe takes the off-reservation housing costs into account when it	
22	establishes its payments pursuant to the RAP.	
23		
24	CLAIM FOR RELIEF	
25	(Federal Preemption)	
26	(Against Selvi Stanislaus and Arnold Schwarzenegger)	
27	24. Plaintiff repeats and realleges herein paragraphs 1 through 23.	
28		

- 25. The legal incidence of PIT with respect to per capita distribution to Members in accordance with the RAP and compensation of Members earned from employment at the Casino falls directly on the Tribe and its Members.
- 26. Imposition of PIT with respect to per capita distribution to Members in accordance with the RAP and compensation of Members earned from employment at the Casino falls directly on the reservation in that the PIT disrupts and infringes upon tribal sovereignty and self-governance in a variety of ways.
- 27. Imposition of PIT creates two Hobson's choices for the Tribe's Members. The first choice is to live off the reservation and pay PIT or to construct housing on the Coachella reservation where part of the Casino or parking lot is currently located, therefore eliminating or significantly minimizing the Tribe's revenues and disrupting Tribal Sovereignty in many ways. Because work opportunities in the nearby area are scarce, moving onto the reservation near the Casino, without being able to rely upon the Casino for income, threatens the economic security of the Tribe and its Members. The other choice is to live off the reservation and pay PIT or somehow construct housing and an entire infrastructure on the Twenty-Nine Palms portion. At this point in time, and in the current economic climate, it is simply not feasible to implement the latter choice. Even assuming doing so were possible, it would economically threaten the viability of the Tribe and disrupt Tribal sovereignty in many ways.
- 28. The PIT infringes upon the Tribe's sovereignty and self-governance in numerous ways, including but not limited to following:
 - (a) Some of the most critical aspects of Tribal self-governance include impacts on the RAP, housing, impacts on Tribal agreements

with local governments, ,infrastructure and land use on the reservation. The Tribe's revenue must be distributed in a manner dictated by the federal government. The RAP is expressly designed to enhance the general welfare of the Tribe. Here, the PIT has a dramatic, negative impact on Tribal self-government and has the potential of creating havoc in the way the Tribe uses its very limited land and resources. If the PIT is permitted to continue, the Tribal council's activities will be consumed by addressing financial, environmental, regulatory and other issues involving construction of housing on the reservation. The Tribe will be forced to reallocate its usable property or develop its unusable property as a result of the State's PIT.

- (b) If the State was not imposing PIT, the Tribe would have more money available to distribute and spend relative to various categories in the RAP such as funding Tribal government operations, retirements, medical clinics on reservation, charitable contributions and other economic development as required by the RAP. Because of the imposition of PIT all of these fundamental categories of a sovereign are directly impacted.
- distributions to Tribal members who must live off the reservation because there is not sufficient room on the reservation in Coachella to provide for the cost of off reservation housing. Because the Tribe's members live off the reservation, the Tribe pays the State significant amounts in addition to the PIT (which by itself approaches 10%), property taxes, sales taxes (where numerous, significant sales

transactions could otherwise take place on the reservation if they lived there), and other various and significant taxes.

- (d) The Tribe is impacted unlike many other tribes because of the minimal amount of land it was granted by the federal government as a reservation.
- (e) To build housing on the Tribe's reservation in Coachella would require the Tribe to readjust and redesign its RAP, including significantly adjusting the reallocations, in order to install specific residential infrastructure (such as roads, utilities, emergency response, etc.) for residential housing. Placing of housing, with children, immediately next to a casino (the Tribe's source of income), raises numerous safety and environmental issues on Tribal land with which the Tribal government must deal. The Tribe would be required, in essence to rezone the Tribal land relative to permitted uses. Also, the Tribe currently has contractual arrangements with off reservation local fire and law enforcement department relative to life safety activities on reservation. If residential housing were built to avoid PIT, the Tribal government would have to renegotiate such agreements to accommodate residential activities. It could readjust uses of its Indian water rights as a result thereof. In addition, a portion of the Coachella reservation is slated to become a Tribal cemetery. The Tribe's plans for the cemetery may be disrupted by the PIT. Because of the small amount of land available in Coachella and the spiritual and infrastructure problems associated with a cemetery, the impact would be significant.

In order to avoid the PIT, and provide housing on the (f) relatively small portion of the reservation near Twenty-Nine Palms, the Tribe would experience even more severe legal and economic infringement on its sovereignty. There are significant environmental issues which must be resolved before construction of any nature can occur on that parcel. Essentially no infrastructure exists at this second reservation parcel. Roads, utilities, drainage grading, zoning and a multitude of issues which go directly to the essential sovereignty and operation of a government would be directly impacted in a similar, but perhaps even more dramatic fashion that the impacts on the Coachella parcel. Tens of millions of dollars for approximately 12 Tribal members would have to be spent to create a residential area on this parcel. This smaller parcel is several miles from the Coachella parcel and the distance would create barriers to economic development. The local governments adjacent to the two reservation parcels are different and would require the Tribe to enter into separate negotiations with local governments involving critical self-governance issues such as fire, police, utilities access, and road access. This not only involves payments, but would involve negotiation over one sovereign's access (e.g. the police or fire department) on to another sovereign's (the Tribe's) property.

(g) The State does not any more have the ability to dictate how the Tribe conducts most of the fundamental business of the Tribe and its land and resources than the State has in dictating the fundamental operations of the federal government. The State may not dictate or require reallocation or revision of the RAP or rezoning on

28

26

1	Tribal land. Moreover, the State may not dictate how and where the	
2	Tribe provides for housing.	
3		
4	(h) Approximately one-fourth of the Tribal members are	
5	living out of state in part to avoid PIT. If the PIT were not imposed,	
6	these members would be more eligible and able to directly take part in	
7	the Tribal government. Thus, the State is disrupting how the Tribe	
8	governs itself.	
9		
10	29. Defendants' effort to impose and collect and PIT on the	
11	Members, and receive PIT from the members, is preempted by federal law,	
12	including the U.S. Constitution's Indian Commerce Clause, Art. I, § 8, Clause 3, the	
13	U.S. Constitution's Supremacy Clause, and IGRA.	
14		
15	PRAYER FOR RELIEF	
16		
17	WHEREFORE, PLAINTIFF prays for judgment as follows:	
18		
19	1. An order from the Court enjoining the imposition of PIT on the	
20	Tribe's Members;	
21		
22	2. A declaration that the Tribe's members are not required to pay	
23	PIT;	
24		
25	3. Costs of suit; and	
26		
27		
28		

SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT

W02-WEST:DF8\400871784.6

1	4. Such other orders and directives that the Court considers just and	
2	proper.	
3		
4	Dated: October 1, 2009	
5	SHEPPARD, MULLIN, RICHTER & HAMPTON LLP	
6		
7	By Carole MKOn	
8	RICHARD M. FREEMAN MATTHEW S. MCCONNELL	
9	CAROLE M. ROSS	
10	Attorneys for TWENTY-NINE PALMS BAND OF MISSION INDIANS	
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

1 2 3	SHEPPARD, MULLIN, RICHTER & HAMPTON LLP A Limited Liability Partnership Including Professional Corporations RICHARD M. FREEMAN, Cal. Bar No. 61178 MATTHEW S. MCCONNELL, Cal. Bar No. 209672 CAPOLE M. POSS, Cal. Bar, No. 163048		
4	CAROLE M. ROSS, Cal. Bar. No. 163048 12275 El Camino Real, Suite 200 San Diego, California 92130-2006		
5	Telephone: 858-720-8900 Facsimile: 858-509-3691		
6	dfreeman@sheppardmullin.com mmcconnell@sheppardmullin.com		
7	cross@sheppardmullin.com		
8 9	Attorneys for Plaintiff TWENTY-NINE PALMS BAND OF MISSION INDIANS		
10			
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
12	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
13	EASTERN DIVISION – RIVERSIDE COURTHOUSE		
14		I	
15	TWENTY-NINE PALMS BAND OF	Case No. EDCV 08-1753 VAP (OPx)	
16	MISSION INDIANS, a federally recognized Indian Tribe,		
17	Plaintiff,	PROOF OF SERVICE	
18	v.		
19	ARNOLD SCHWARZENEGGER, , in his official capacity as Governor of the State		
20	of California; SELVI STANISLAUS in her official capacity as Executive Officer of		
21	the Franchise Tax Board,	,	
22	Defendant.		
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	·		

PROOF OF SERVICE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO

I am employed in the County of San Diego; I am over the age of eighteen years and not a party to the within entitled action; my business address is 12275 El Camino Real, Suite 200, San Diego, California 92130-2006.

On October 1, 2009, I served the following document(s) described as:

SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT

AMENDED CERTIFICATE OF INTERESTED PARTIES

AMENDED NOTICE OF RELATED CASE

on the interested party(ies) in this action by placing true copies thereof enclosed in sealed envelopes and/or packages addressed as follows:

See Attached Service List

- BY MAIL: I am "readily familiar" with the firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence for mailing. Under that practice it would be deposited with the U.S. postal service on that same day with postage thereon fully prepaid at San Diego, California in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing in affidavit.
- BY CM/ECF SYSTEM: I caused the above-referenced document(s) to be sent by electronic transmission to the Clerk's Office using the CM/ECF System for filing which generated a Notice of Electronic Filing to the CM/ECF registrants in this case.
- BY OVERNIGHT DELIVERY: I served such envelope or package to be delivered on the same day to an authorized courier or driver authorized by the overnight service carrier to receive documents, in an envelope or package designated by the overnight service carrier.
- BY FACSIMILE: I served said document(s) to be transmitted by facsimile pursuant to Rule 2.306 of the California Rules of Court. The telephone number of the sending facsimile machine was 858-509-3691. The name(s) and facsimile machine telephone number(s) of the person(s) served are set forth in the service list. The sending facsimile machine (or the machine used to forward the facsimile) issued a transmission report confirming that the transmission was complete and without error. Pursuant to Rule 2.306(g)(4), a copy of that report is attached to this declaration.
- BY HAND DELIVERY: I caused such envelope(s) to be delivered by hand to the office of the addressee(s).
- STATE: I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

28

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

FEDERAL: I declare that I am employed in the office of a member of the bar of this Court at whose direction the service was made. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on October 1, 2009, at San Diego, California.

/s/ Joanna Keeping JOANNA KEEPING

SERVICE LIST

1	SE
2	Edmund G. Brown, Jr. Attorney General of California
3	Sara J. Drake
4	Supervising Deputy Attorney General Randall A. Pinal
5	Deputy Attorney General Jennifer T. Henderson Deputy Attorney General
6	Deputy Attorney General 110 West A Street, Suite 1100
7	San Diego, CA 92101 PO Box 85266
8	San Diego, CA 92186-5266 Telephone: 619-645-3075
9	Fax: 619-645-2012 Email: Randy.Pinal@doj.ca.gov
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	gs.
24	
25	
26	
27	